I was recently informed that white Anglo Australians - the most common sort in Australia - are composed of two types.

1. Decent, laid back, hard-working, kindly, etc. who are the salt of the earth and so have made the country what it is - from something rejected by the Dutch, to what it is now, with high value real estate prices, most liveable cities, fine roads and services, etc.

2. The very scum of the Earth who pretend to be the former. Being scum, they know how to rise to top places.

I have led a sheltered life, in the research field where only the #1 type were around, but I could not help noticing how they were affected by the #2 types.

In which category are Australian politicians to be placed?

"You asked the wrong people but I appreciate your attempt at trolling....."

"Rather *DULL* aren't you."

"THEN HE SAID UNTO THEM, O FOOLS, AND SLOW {#322 as [#2, #100, #1, #4, #5, #10, #200] = bradus (G1021): slow} OF HEART TO BELIEVE--ALL THAT THE PROPHETS HAVE SPOKEN:" [Luke 24:25 (KJV)]

T'AI HSÜAN CHING {POLAR OPPOSITIONS / INTERPLAY OF OPPOSITES} [4 BCE]:
UMBRA: #707 % #41 = #10 - Impossible Advice, What can Be Done?; I-Ching: H30 - Cohesion, Radiance, Clinging to Brightness/Fire, The net; Tetra: 41 - Response;

THOTH MEASURE: #10 - Oh thou who orderest the flame, who makest thine appearance in Memphis; I am not a robber of food.

#VIRTUE: With Defectiveness (no. #10), selfishness and crookedness.
#TOOLS: With Vastness (no. #50), fairmindedness and desirelessness.
#POSITION: As to Branching Out (no. #9), it is the advance.
#TIME: As to Holding Back (no. #17), it is the retreat.
#CANON: #86

ONTIC_OBLIGANS_86@
@1: Sup: 10 (#10); Ego: 10 (#10),
@2: Sup: 60 (#70); Ego: 50 (#60),
@3: Sup: 69 (#139 - I HAVE NOT SLAUGHTERED THE SACRED ANIMALS {%13}); Ego: 9 (#69),
@4: Sup: 5 (#144); Ego: 17 (#86 - I AM NOT A ROBBER OF FOOD {#10}),
Male: #144; Feme: #86
} // #86

#322 as [#2, #100, #1, #4, #5, #10, #200] = bradus (G1021): {UMBRA: #10 as #707 % #41 = #10} 1) slow; 2) *METAPHOR*: *DULL*, *INACTIVE*, *IN* *MIND*; 2a) stupid, slow to apprehend or believe;

"WHEREFORE, MY BELOVED BRETHREN, LET EVERY MAN BE SWIFT TO HEAR, SLOW {#322 as [#2, #100, #1, #4, #5, #10, #200] = bradus (G1021): slow} TO SPEAK, SLOW {#322 as [#2, #100, #1, #4, #5, #10, #200] = bradus (G1021): slow} TO WRATH:" [James 1:19 (KJV)]

FRAN (F1R2A3N4@LOONYNUTTERS.COM) @ 0926 HOURS ON 26 JANUARY 2019: "Thankfully not as *DULL* as a troll."

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 1326 HOURS ON 26 JANUARY 2019: "Show some evidence of wit. Borrow some."

FRAN (F1R2A3N4@LOONYNUTTERS.COM) @ 1833 HOURS ON 26 JANUARY 2019: "YAWN."

DOLF @ 1855 HOURS ON 26 JANUARY 2019: "Your ideas are *CONSTIPATED* ...

— HEY GIBLET —

"DANG YOU SH@THOLE
A CAPE'D CRUSADER.
MEATHEAD PARASOL.
MY SPACE INVADER."
HYENAS EACH ONE.
ON A PICNIC TREAT.
CONGREGATING FUN.
GROWLING CONCEIT.

YOU’RE NO TROJAN.
JUST TURKEY ARSE.
A FORAGING BOGAN.
FEVERISH FOR FARCE.”

YOUTUBE: "African Safari Oleg"
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ezYfujKJsxQ>

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 1835
HOURS ON 26 JANUARY 2019: "Truly witless people, programmed to
babble whatever rubbish they hear from approved sources, can have no
comprehension of wit."

RACHEL [COCKROACH] BEN LEVI: “Excuse me, Bob?

Do you remember where I hid the K-Y Jelly?”

DOLF: “You dry old twat {ie. a person regarded as stupid or obnoxious}
who is still living in the 80’s...

Silicon based lubricants gives a better glide.

And afterwards you can polish the linoleum floors.”

RACHEL [COCKROACH] BEN LEVI: “Okay, i’ll try the WD-40.

thanks for all your continued great advice.”

— LOVE IS AN OPEN DOOR —

“NO DEAR, HERE’S SOME ADVICE.
WD-40 IS FOR YOUR DRY HINGE.
AND KEEN FOR TRYST AS TO VICE.
IT’S NO VALUE FOR A LONG BINGE.
WHEN YOU CAN’T EVEN PLAY NICE.
BECAUSE YOU’RE ONLY UNHINGED.”

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 1937
HOURS ON 26 JANUARY 2019: "Gad, a strange creature here, makes no sense at all. Is this supposed to be comic relief?"

DOLF @ 2009 HOURS ON 26 JANUARY 2019: "You’re Indian mate and don’t believe in sapient human rights due to your mentally deficient binomial paradigm:

*ADOLF* *HITLER* & *ROMAN* *EMPIRE* *GOVERNANCE*:: @1 - FASCIST MOVEMENT IS A SPONTANEOUS RETURN TO THE TRADITIONS OF ANCIENT ROME, @5 - ROMAN EMPIRE GREAT POLITICAL CREATION OF STATE, #65 - *SOLDIER*, #175 - *MARRIAGE*

Since our superior democracy is established upon a trinomial paradigm:

@1 {#1} + @2 {#41} + @3 {#81} + @4 {#369} = #492 - VOLUNTARY FREE WILL

YOUR SHIT HOLE NATION CANNOT PROVIDE FLUSHING TOILETS OR HUMAN RIGHTS FOR THE UNTOUCHABLES...

YOUTUBE: "Coldplay - Hymn For The Weekend (Official Video)"

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YykjpeuMNEk>

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 2154 HOURS ON 26 JANUARY 2019: "Bad comic."

DOLF @ 2207 HOURS ON 26 JANUARY 2019: "What do you mean by that offensive racist remark?

And don’t get me started on equal rights for women whom no less as sapient have the innate human right to #492 - VOLUNTARY FREE WILL"

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 0843 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "There are those who are funny when they try to be serious. There are those who are funny when they write nonsense. Then there are those who write nonsense seriously. That too can be funny, but when they are merely peculiar then they are bad comics."

DOLF @ 0858 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "The constitution to our democracy comes with its own sapient trinomial mathematical theoretical noumenon by which we can assay your INDIAN speak with forked tongue
"There are those who are funny when they try to be serious. {@1: Sup: 72 (#72); Ego: 31 (#31)},
There are those who are funny when they write nonsense. {@2: Sup: 77 (#149); Ego: 63 (#94)},
Then there are those who write nonsense seriously. {@3: Sup: 40 (#189); Ego: 14 (#108 - *PROGENITOR*)},
That too can be funny, {@4: Sup: 44 (#233); Ego: 33 (#141)},
but when they are merely peculiar then they are bad comics." {@5: Sup: 79 (#312 - *CONTRADICTION* *BY* *UNSCRUPULOUS* *INDIAN*); Ego: 5 (#146 - I AM NOT A LAND-GRABBER {%15})},

AS UNCONSCIONABLE AND UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONDUCT BEING BREACHES TO QUEEN VICTORIA'S LETTERS PATENT DATED 29 OCTOBER 1900 {

#371 - *SAINT* *ANDREWS* *AS* *ROMAN* *CATHOLIC* *30* *NOVEMBER* *FIVE* *YEAR*: 4 x #364 + #371 = #1827 *LITURGICAL* *CALENDAR*,
#288 - *REMEMBRANCE* *USING* *ROMAN* *EMPIRE* / *NAZI* *PROTOTYPE*,
#390 - *WREATHS* / *SOVEREIGNTY*,
#312 - *WITHERED* *STATE* *WREATHS* *USING* *ROMAN* *EMPIRE* / *NAZI* *PROTOTYPE*,
#419 - *SLAUGHTER*

} OF DETERMINED AND PREMEDITATED BOER / ANZAC DEFAMATION OCCASIONING RACIAL HATRED, ANTI-SEMITISM BY ESPECIALLY *INDIANS* / ROMAN CATHOLICS / FREEMASONRY AS KNIGHTS TEMPLARS BEING AN UNLAWFUL FOREIGN POWER AND PSYCHOSEXUAL SLANDER AGAINST WHICH THEY HAVE NOT BEEN RESPONSIVE."

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 1133 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "I invented googling while I lived in India and that is not nothing. The pains of life in India also gives scope for incredible creativity well beyond thr scope of the pampered racist bigots."

DOLF @ 0920 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "I do note that QUEEN VICTORIA was the EMPRESS OF INDIA at the time of granting such PRESENTS to our nation as LETTERS PATENT to the FEDERATION OF THE AUSTRALIAN COMMONWEALTH.

Such exemplary sovereign dignity was obviously wasted on INDIA whom
can only produce an atomic bomb, Bollywood and little else of memorable merit:

Case in point is that INDIANS migrate to AUSTRALIA and not AUSTRALIANS migrate to INDIA."

**ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 1907 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019:** "Before that time she was the biggest drug dealer on the planet. Atomic bombs are evil and Bollywood has no merit, but Indian cinema is great though often only in flashes of singing and dancing.

India provides Indians with correct religious approaches involving superb mythology and metaphysics with associated customs and traditions, many beautiful languages, the best literature Sanskrit downwards, music and dancing, art and architecture, agriculture and pottery, medicine and the correct theories of state administration, weaving and animal rearing, the best family values, the greatest views, the tasties dishes, the healthiest approaches, etc.

True, one has to get out of India to appreciate the value of India, past and also present. India can do a lot better when Indians acquire more self-confidence in their past. This process has started with the election of Modi, and I believe, will continue.

No doubt. Indians have much to learn and Australia is a wonderful country from where to learn and also live well in the process. Indians have a right to migrate to Australia, for the British used the wealths from India to develop Australia. And other colonies as well.

I have no doubt that Australians will migrate to India when conditions in India suit them. That may happen, within 20-50 years, depending upon progress in India. Some Australians I have met seem hopeful, given the quality of infrastructure that has been built up in the last few years. I think with good telecom and power tools, a lot of progress is possible very fast. Let us see."

**DOLF @ 1937 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019:** "SUBSUMING HINDU'S PRINCIPLE DEITIES INTO A MATHEMATICAL FUNCTION OF AN #2184 - ANTHROPOLOGIC COSMOGONIC PRINCIPLE AND FUNCTION:

You are mistaken in that my metempirical / metaphysical philosophy and theology as shown to you being a syncretism of Jewish Torah / DAOist
Humanist / Christian is transcendent being a trinomial paradigm to Hinduism’s reliance upon a binomial methodology:

#364 - Vishnu,
#312 - Shiva and
#273 - Brahma

Which I have clearly shown by subsuming HINDU’s Principle Deities into a mathematical function of an #2184 - ANTHROPOLOGIC COSMOGONIC PRINCIPLE AND FUNCTION.

*DO* *NOT* *MAKE* *THAT* *BLASPHEMOUS* *FALSE* *CLAIM*
*AGAIN* AND SHOW A GREATER #873 - PROBITY TOWARDS #115 - PREEMINENCE OTHERWISE WE MIGHT DIRECT YOUR RETURN TO INDIA ON GROUNDS THAT YOU HAVE VIOLATED THE CRITERIA OF CITIZENSHIP WITHIN THE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA."

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 2244 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "May I assure you that I have not the faintest interest in any philosophy of yours."

DOLF @ 0001 HOURS ON 28 JANUARY 2019: "I OBJECT TO YOUR NEO-NAZI ROMAN CATHOLIC BLASPHEMY ASSOCIATED WITH AN ABOMINATION OF INDIAN IDOLATRY WHICH MAKES FALSE AND DELUSIONAL CLAIMS OF ENTITLEMENT AGAINST MY PREROGATIVE RIGHT TO CITIZENSHIP AND THE EXERCISE OF AN UNENCUMBERED #492 - VOLUNTARY FREE WILL WHICH YOU HAVE SLANDERED:

"INDIANS HAVE A RIGHT TO MIGRATE TO AUSTRALIA, FOR THE BRITISH USED THE WEALTHS FROM INDIA TO DEVELOP AUSTRALIA." [Arindam Banerjee <banerjeeadda1234@gmail.com>, 27 JANUARY 2019]

If you don’t conform and have no intention to conform to the FOUNDATIONAL PRINCIPLES OF THIS AUSTRALIAN COMMONWEALTH BY QUEEN VICTORIA’S LETTERS PATENT 29 OCTOBER 1900 THEN YOU OUGHT TO BE IMMEDIATELY DECLARED A PERSONA NON GRATA AND EXPELLED FROM THIS COUNTRY AS BEING AN UNDESIRABLE ALIEN WITH AN INCURABLE DISEASE AS A PERSON OF UNSOUND MIND.

Get out of our country you fascist excrement."
facebook, using one of the posts in my timeline. I have reported this hate speech to facebook authorities. And of course, blocked the creep from doing such nasty things again in facebook at least.

DOLF @ 1152 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "What do you think of my KRISHNA consciousness as dark humour?

YOUTUBE: “My Sweet Lord (George Harrison)”

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SP9wms6oEMo>

DOLF @ 1340 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "If Arindam Banerjee <banerjeeadda1234@gmail.com> is not very careful with his next comment he will have cursed the entire pantheon of gods.

*CHECKMATE*

YOUTUBE: “ONE NIGHT IN BANGKOK (MURRAY HEAD)”

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rgc_LRjlbTU>

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 1435 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "In the 1960s the ISKCON started. Hordes of whites started doing strange things in India. My uncle was a police officer. I heard him tell my father that it was a CIA trick. Like all the Bhagwan stuff a bit later."

DOLF @ 1446 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "Yes yes of course one cannot trust the Bhagwan—f@ck you.

Was your uncle lamenting the diminished opportunity for graft and corruption since the ISKCON took all the money?

YOU ANSWERED YOUR OWN QUESTION: “I was recently informed that Nile-mud Brahman priesthood off-scouring the most common sort in INDIA - are composed of two types:

I have led a sheltered life, in the research field where only the #1 type (ie. my uncle police officer) were around, but I could not help noticing how they were affected by [graft and corruption from] the #2 types: The very scum of the Earth who pretend to be the former. Being scum, they know how to rise to top places.

In which category [as contortions of truth state of sapience and bearing
their buttholes to the sun] are INDIAN [yoga practitioner] politicians to be placed?" [paraphrase of Arindam Banerjee
<banerjeeadda1234@gmail.com> quote so as to assay universal truth about India’s sacred cows]

ÖRDÖG (DEVIL@YOUR.SCARY.SHADOW.EDU) @ 1520 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "OK, mate. Calm down! So WTF has all that to do with contemporary aus.politics????

You are badly off topic here w/o a shred of relevance. If you insist on posting to Usenet try an India related newsgroup!"

DOLF @ 1953 HOURS ON 28 JANUARY 2019: "It cannot BE CONSIDERED A RACIAL HATRED REMARK AS IRRATIONALITY DIRECTED TOWARDS PERSONS OF INDIAN NATIONALITY because the opinion is made on the basis of “criteria and expectations of citizenship” associated with a delusional and haughty self-bestowal a prerogative life at the expense of ABORIGINAL #451 - ENTITLEMENT (DREAMTIME) which is indigenous to the #41 - establishment of the #492 - VOLUNTARY FREE WILL as principle central to the nation’s identity as the AUSTRALIAN COMMONWEALTH."

DOLF @ 0611 HOURS ON 18 FEBRUARY 2019: "Noting that the PRE-PLATONIC advocate Philolaus (470-385 BCE) a principle proponent of the PYTHAGOREAN doctrine considered the Natural Year to be 364.5 days which is identical to the Chinese trinomial conception of number that by its circularity conveys an #41 - ONTIC necessity proscriptions: #205 - Principle of Persistent Substance ✡ / ☯ #164 - Principle of Materiality = #369 - Discriminating Norm (Human Nature) being organisation as premise of #123 - JUDGMENT SENSIBILITY for #492 - VOLUNTARY FREE WILL."
A reasonable question in regard to this is made of EMANATION theology in relation to the perspective that ASYLUM INFLUX may be viewed as an irrationality of the LATIN / PYTHAGOREAN binomial construct of imperial governance as perspective of SOVEREIGN / AUTONOMY dynamic.

Emanation of the state is a term used in European law to describe any body which provides a public service under the control of government. The term was defined by the European Court of Justice (ECJ) in Foster, A and others v. British Gas plc. The ECJ's ruling defines the term as:

A body, whatever its legal form, which has been made responsible, pursuant to a measure adopted by the state, for providing a public service under the control of the state and has for that purpose special powers beyond that which result from the normal rules applicable in relations between individuals.

The term is most obviously used to describe public sector employers, such as the police, fire service, local government bodies or schools. [<https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Emanation_of_the_state>]

Thusly can we not therefore consider antisemitism as emanation within the state as similar to such issues of Institutional corruption or of an emerging contagious shooting dynamic whether in police services, educational institutions or the norm within society as a sociological accountability phenomenon first observed in military and police personnel, in which one person firing on a target can induce others to begin shooting.

In my view EMANATION theology presents to us a circularity which as
intuited from the TORAH has as #4 - Principle of Materiality as it’s grounding that as temporality is anchored within 49J1W2D as Jewish Exodus from Egypt and 40 years sojourn = 50J"

**DOLF @ 0123 HOURS ON 29 JANUARY 2019**: "Such that we have women’s suffrage as their entitlement to exercise #492 - VOLUNTARY FREE WILL within political life, but when you come into this country and fail to succeed to that principle which women have in this country (but not in Saudi Arabia for instance) then it is YOU whom are failing that unambiguous “criteria and expectations of citizenship” and your capacity to remain within this country ought to be annulled.

That principle is not up to negotiation or disparities since it is causal to the necessity as why we exist as a nation over 119 years ago then we consented to the notion that women have rights, women have entitlement and women are equal to men."

**FRAN (F1R2A3N4@LOONYNUTTERS.COM) @ 1857 HOURS ON 26 JANUARY 2019**: "Says the person who said good things about Trump thus revealing his own babbling sources...."

**DOLF @ 0611 HOURS ON 18 FEBRUARY 2019**: "Well may you say with regards to antisemitism and holocaust never again, let us be lambs to the slaughter however bleating “unacceptable” is not an adequate reasoned response.

Unacceptable is when you provide a comprehensive solution to the “WORLD JEWISH CONGRESS” and they refuse to engage within any dialog (not even acknowledgement let alone appreciation) and continue to send you solicitations for money saying the matter is URGENT.

A case in point is President TRUMP’s foresight in establishing a BORDER WALL due to the risk of a RIPPLE or CONTAGION EFFECT from basket case ECONOMIES and failed STATES within LATIN AMERICA.

When you consider that implicit within the notion of LATIN AMERICA is a conception of SOVEREIGN STATES which are a reliance upon ROMAN EMPIRE GOVERNANCE: *ADOLF* *HITLER* & *ROMAN* *EMPIRE* *GOVERNANCE*: @1 - FASCIST MOVEMENT IS A SPONTANEOUS RETURN TO THE TRADITIONS OF ANCIENT ROME, @5 - ROMAN EMPIRE GREAT POLITICAL CREATION OF STATE, #65 - *SOLDIER*, #175 - *MARRIAGE* that apart from a demiurge existential impost {eg: alleged Russian interference within the American political system} always has inherent dichotomies, irrationality and
irreconcilability.

This is distinct from a SAPIENT / KNOWLEDGE economy which as conveyed by the GRAPPLE PROTOTYPE as DATA SET comprised of \(\#729 = \#9 \times \#9 \times \#9 / 2 = \#364.5\) BIFURCATED APPRAISALS as being a SUB-SET of the available 14,298 RECORDS.

We are now meeting the requirement for the development of some program functions against that originating DATA SET. Before we commence the actual process of generating our individual LEXICON JSON resource files and GEMATRIA {ie. the *ISOPSEPHIC* extent of \#3373 v's \#3273 - PRINCIPLE OF MATERIALITY} / UMBRA {ie.}

That the *ISOPSEPHIC* extent of \#800 as threshold has equivalence to the *ORDINAL* value of 26th letter to the ENGLISH / ROMANISATION languages suggests that there is a probable CIRCUMSCRIBING \#311 / \#111 - impetus made against the INTELLECTUS AS GENITIVE VOLUNTĀTIS by the SAINT ANDREWS \#371 - 30 NOVEMBER CAUSE CÉLÈBRE from otherwise LATIN / ARABIC or OTHER BINOMIAL LINGUISTIC GROUPS by its pantomime and regular patterning which undertaken by INTERNET MILITIA is intentioned upon \#325 {MAGIC SUM OF 5x5 = \#65 - *SOLDIER*} as \[#80, \#1, \#100, \#1, \#4, \#10, \#4, \#70, \#40, \#5, \#9, \#1\] / \#1050 as \[#80, \#1, \#100, \#1, \#4, \#10, \#4, \#800, \#40, \#10\] = paradidomi (G3860): {UMBRA: \#43 as \#582 % \#41 = \#8} 1) to give into the hands (of another); 2) to give over into (one's) power or use; ... 4b) *TO* *DELIVER* *BY* *NARRATING*, to report;

aggregation of them we will make the 14,298 REFERENCE SOURCE OBJECTS available for the exigency of GOVERNMENT and NEWS MEDIA:

<http://www.grapple369.com/Grumble/scripts/Strongs.zip>

**LIONS GROWL OF BUTCHERS FOUL**
(SCREAMING.NUTBAG@GMAIL.COM) @ 2026 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "Have you suddenly and without warning switched to describing the people in India?"

**CASEOFEMERGENCY** (CASEOFEMERGENCY@ROSEWATER.EU) @ 0104 HOURS ON 12 DECEMBER 2018: "CATHOLIC NARCISSISTIC IMBECILE FORTUNE COOKIE QUOTES: NATURE IS NOT A WHORE / DE NATUUR IS GEEN HOER:

**TRANSLATION:** WOW! A whole caravan madmen from the tundra. Putin takes a big deal. Do not spill but cuddle, my Tsarevitz.

**DOLF @ 0408 HOURS ON 12 DECEMBER 2018:** "YOU ARE NOT AN #343 - *UBERMENSCH* ..."

But understand this our FINAL SOLUTION that as a narcissistic imbecile with an infatuation of self-bestowed dignity which is ignorance as #231 - JUXTAPOSITION CONTROL.

It is little wonder that the Dutch capitulated to the Nazi’s within 5 days but at least the French #22 - *RESISTANCE* will be sufficient to withstand the hysteria of the SOYLENT GREEN ANARCHIST RIOTING.

We are here to render assistance but that you should to get use to the idea your ego ought NOT to impose itself by any means whatsoever upon my SELF-IDENTITY AS FORMULA AS AUTONOMY.

— **APPLE RELISH** —

"GUILTY AS SIN, 
NOT REALLY NICE. 
OVERTAKE TIME. 
TRUTH’S DEVICE. 
HEAR IT RHYME 
OUR NANO IS IN."

Otherwise I will give you a lesson which will leave you pickled and it won’t be relish.

Het lijkt erop dat u onderworpen bent aan een gemeenschappelijke stroom semantiek als het lexicon van opportunisme:

Verzet is zinloos - you will be assimilated!
THE ÜBERMENSCH (GERMAN FOR "BEYOND-MAN", "SUPERMAN", "OVERRIDE", "SUPERHUMAN", "HYPERMAN", "HYPERHUMAN"); IS A CONCEPT IN THE PHILOSOPHY OF FRIEDRICH NIETZSCHE. IN HIS 1883 BOOK THUS SPOKE ZARATHUSTRA (GERMAN: ALSO SPRACH ZARATHUSTRA), NIETZSCHE HAS HIS CHARACTER ZARATHUSTRA POSIT THE ÜBERMENSCH AS A GOAL {

#343 - TELOS / *AUTONOMOUS* *PRINCIPLE* *OF*  
*COHESION*: @65 - ANTHROPOCENTRIC PROTOTYPE AND HUMAN RELATIONS: H54 - MARRYING MAIDEN: #3 + #6 = #9 as SUIS JURIS / MEMBRUM VIRILE *AGAINST* *BOTH* *THE* *PRINCIPLE* *OF*  
*JUXTAPOSITION*: @1 - SOVEREIGNITY: SECTION VIII TO QUEEN VICTORIA'S LETTERS PATENT 29 OCTOBER 1900: #27 + #54 = #81  
AND PRINCIPLE OF CONTINUITY @5 - SUCCESSIVE PRINCIPLE: SECTION IX TO QUEEN VICTORIA'S LETTERS PATENT 29 OCTOBER 1900: #9 + #18 = #27 IN REGARD TO THE SUCCESSION OF SOVEREIGNITY } FOR HUMANITY TO SET FOR ITSELF. IT IS A WORK OF PHILOSOPHICAL ALLEGORY {

#288 - META SCHEMA ACQUIESCENCE: @1 - @728 - PASSOVER, @5 - PENTECOST, @65, #175 THEURGIC SYNCRETISM OF NEOPLATONISM COMMONLY USED BY PYTHAGOREAN NUMBER DERIVED GOVERNANCE (ROMAN CATHOLICISM / ISLAM etc) THAT IS UNLAWFULLY DEPLOYING MY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AS #391 - HOMOGENEOUS PARADIGM BY #288 - UMBRA RAW MAPPING TO THEIR ANKH HETEROS / TORAH PROTOTYPES  

}, WITH A STRUCTURAL SIMILARITY TO THE GATHAS OF ZOROASTER/ ZARATHUSTRA."

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 2347 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "Indians, especially Bengalis, are very
witty. They have the best jokes. Facebook provides them for me, galore.

I was talking in general, about the brainwashed masses, and their robotic minds that being so robotic can have no comprehension of wit."

— OUR SHINDIG —

"OH FOR THE LOVE
OF PUSSY DEAR.
TRUTH SO PLAIN.

TO GOD {#86} ABOVE. {@5: Sup: 41 (#98); Ego: 70 (#305 - SEE KANT'S PROLEGOMENA IDEA: @305})

AND ALL HERE.
WE’RE JUST VAIN.” {@7: Sup: 15 (#118 - SEE KANT'S PROLEGOMENA IDEA: @B118); Ego: 50 (#417})

YOUTUBE: "PUSSY GALORE"

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XCLqyXf2s70>

ÖRDÖG (DEVIL@YOUR.SCARY.SHADOW.EDU) @ 0733 HOURS ON 28 JANUARY 2019: "This should be interesting to watch: a lowlife racist alt-right wanker against this strange newbie!

KANT'S PROLEGOMENA (1783) SECTION #22 AS IDEA @305: "To sum this up: the *BUSINESS* *OF* *THE* *SENSES* is to intuit; that of the understanding, to think. To think, however, is to unite representations in a consciousness. This unification either arises merely relative to the subject and is contingent and subjective, or it occurs without condition and is necessary or objective. The unification of representations in a consciousness is judgment. Therefore, thinking is the same as judging or as relating representations to judgments in general. Judgments are therefore either merely subjective, if representations are related to one consciousness in one subject alone and are united in it, or they are objective, if they are united in a consciousness in general, i.e., are united necessarily therein. [IDEA: @305] The logical moments of all judgments are so many possible ways of uniting representations in a consciousness.

If, however, the very same moments serve as concepts, they are concepts of the necessary unification of these representations in a consciousness, and so are principles of objectively valid judgments. This unification in a consciousness is either analytic, through identity, or synthetic, through combination and addition of various representations with one another.
Experience consists in the synthetic connection of appearances (perceptions) in a consciousness, insofar as this connection is necessary.

Therefore pure concepts of the understanding are those under which all perceptions must first be subsumed before they can serve in judgments of experience, in which the synthetic unity of perceptions is represented as necessary and universally valid." [pages 56-57]

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 0922 HOURS ON 28 JANUARY 2019: "So what are you? You have been described as a marxist. At their best, marxists are *RIPE*-arse idiot-intellectuals, lazy, envious, pretentious, mendacious and overall worthless in every way."

FRAN (F1R2A3N4@LOONYNUTTERS.COM) @ 0927 HOURS ON 28 JANUARY 2019: "For God’s sake try to use some brains if you have any! It should be obvious even to an idiot that he was described as being a 'marxist' by a known troll. Or didn't you understand the responses that were given about that troll?

At their best, marxists are *RIPE*-arse idiot-intellectuals, lazy, envious, pretentious, mendacious and overall worthless in every way.

Now you're turning you attention to him (thus making a lie of your comments about how unworthy he was of your attention [snort]) your insults are still lame and pathetic."

KANT'S PROLEGOMENA (1783) AS IDEA @B118: "Hence I call the explanation of the way in which concepts can relate a priori to objects the transcendental deduction of those concepts, and I distinguish it from an empirical deduction, which shows how a concept is acquired through experience and reflection on experience, and which concerns therefore not the legitimacy of the possession, but the fact of how possession came about.

Now we have indeed two sorts of concepts, completely different in [IDEA: @B118] kind, that nonetheless agree with one another in that both of them relate to objects entirely a priori: namely, the concepts of space and time, as forms of #123 - SENSIBILITY, and the categories {

#118 / #417 as [#5, #300, #1, #60, #1, #50] = tasso (G5021): {UMBRA: #19 as #1501 % #41 = #25} 1) to put in order, to station; 1a) to place in a certain order, to arrange, to assign a place, to appoint; 1a1) to assign (appoint) a thing to one; 1b) to appoint, ordain, order;
1b1) to appoint on one's own responsibility or authority; 1b2) *TO* *APPOINT* *MUTUALLY*, ie. *AGREE* *UPON*;

}, as concepts of the understanding.

To attempt an empirical deduction of these concepts would be completely idle labor, because the differentia of their nature consists in the [IDEA: @A86] very fact that they relate to their objects without having to borrow anything from experience for the representation of those objects. If therefore a deduction of these concepts is needed, it will always have to be transcendental." [page 212]

ÖRDÖG (DEVIL@YOUR.SCARY.SHADOW.EDU) @ 1005 HOURS ON 28 JANUARY 2019: "It looks like some people's idea what a discussion of a topic should look like is quite different from what this newbie thinks.

There are those like "*DOLF*" for instance who loves ranting on and on and on but rational readers can never work out what he is on about. I am afraid the same goes for this poster.

I am still unable to work out why he insists on posting to this newsgroup. Why he insists posting at all!

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 1143 HOURS ON 28 JANUARY 2019: "Is there someone high up in this n[ews]g[roup] who has signed a document showing who is a troll and who is not? Even if he did, that would not be binding upon anyone in any free society.

As far as I am concerned, all are equal here, *EXCEPT* *THOSE* *LIKE* *DOLF* *WHO* *WRITE* [TO] *HARASS* *ME* *WITH* *HATE* *SPEECH*. Those I regard as the real scum, and will report to the police about them if they continue with such harassment. Cybercrime involving hate speech, that is hateful to minorities, is an offence that is taken seriously these days.

Anyway why are you speaking for this dog character? Can't he decide for himself what he is? I suppose you all are suffering severe identity crises."

DE NUN CHUCKA (DECHUCKA1@HOTMAIL.COM) @ 1148 HOURS ON 28 JANUARY 2019: "Really, I might take [*DOLF*] out of the bozo bin as he sound[s] like a sensible fellow now.

Anyhow why is a US west coast Indian posting in this n[ews]g[roup],
shouldn't you be on a reservation sitting in a tee-pee?"

**FRAN** *(F1R2A3N4@LOONYNUTTERS.COM) @ 1348 HOURS ON 28 JANUARY 2019:* "I was waiting for someone else to pick up on that. Now he says he's in Melbourne and has been since 1989................"

**DANIEL60** *(DANIEL47@ETERNAL-SEPTEMBER.ORG) @ 2349 HOURS ON 17 FEBRUARY 2019:* "What?? Did you actually bother to read the tripe??"

H7750@

@1: Sup: 6 (#6); Ego: 6 (#6),
@2: Sup: 63 (#69); Ego: 57 (#63),
@3: Sup: 72 (#141); Ego: 9 (#72),
@4: Sup: 1 (#142); Ego: 10 (#82),
Male: #142; Feme: #82

} // #325

T'AI HSÜAN CHING {POLAR OPPOSITIONS / INTERPLAY OF OPPOSITES} [4 BCE]:

**UMBRA:** #315 % #41 = #28 - Opposites and Primitivism, Returning to Simplicity; I-Ching: H24 - Return, The turning point; Tetra: 2 - Full Circle;

**THOTH MEASURE:** #28 - Oh thou, hot of foot, who makest thy appearance at even; *I* *INDULGE* *NOT* *IN* *ANGER*.

#VIRTUE: With Change (no. #28), alterations but sharing smiles.
#TOOLS: With Dimming (no. #68), over a long time, increasing troubles.
#POSITION: With Vastness (no. #50), the infinitely great, but
#TIME: With Barrier (no. #4), the buried and blocked.
#CANON: #150

**ONTIC_OBLIGANS_150@**

@1: Sup: 28 (#28); Ego: 28 (#28),
@2: Sup: 15 (#43); Ego: 68 (#96),
@3: Sup: 65 (#108); Ego: 50 (#146 - I AM NOT A LAND-GRABBER {#15}),
@4: Sup: 69 (#177 - I AM NOT GIVEN TO CURSING {#29}); Ego: 4 (#150 - I INDULGE NOT IN ANGER {#28}),
Male: #177; Feme: #150

} // #150

#325 {#5x#5 = #65 - *SOLDIER*} as [#6, #300, #9, #10] = suwt (H7750): {**UMBRA:** #9 as #315 % #41 = #28} 1) to swerve, fall away; 2) *TO* *THRUST* *OR* *FORCE* *DOWN*, *OUT*, *AWAY*; 1a) (Qal); 1a1) to swerve, fall away; 1a2) those falling away (participle); 2a) subject to censorship or kill-file filtering; 2b) habitually exclude socially or ignore conversationally;

**FRAN** *(F1R2A3N4@LOONYNUTTERS.COM) @ 1454 HOURS ON 18 FEBRUARY 2019:* "Just shove him in your *KILLFILE* {#325 - DETRUDE}. Most of us did soon after he arrived."

**LIONS GROWL OF BUTCHERS FOUL** *(SCREAMING.NUTBAG@GMAIL.COM) @ 1459 HOURS ON 18 FEBRUARY 2019:* "Fran felt especially challenged by opinions that conflict with her irrational beliefs, due to her cognitive dissonance."
This is the woman who believes the 'boats never stopped' although she is well aware that the few remaining detention centres still open are now virtually empty of illegal entrants."

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 1727 HOURS ON 18 FEBRUARY 2019: "Woman is so stupid, she confuses me with my impostor. A half-wit could see the difference in quality.

She is very stupid.

She is very very stupid."

LIONS GROWL OF BUTCHERS FOUL (SCREAMING.NUTBAG@GMAIL.COM) @ 0759 HOURS ON 19 FEBRUARY 2019: "For once, I am on Fran's side. I can't tell any of you apart either."

— THIS OR THAT —
[Posted @ 0439 hours on 20 February 2019]

“PICK A COLOUR.
OF THE RAINBOW.
WHAT IS DU JOUR?
THIS OR IS IT THAT?
WHO CAN KNOW.
I’M NOT EVEN SURE.
WHETHER IT’S SO.
WHERE IS IT AT”  {@9: Sup: 18 (#286: SEE KANT'S PROLEGOMENA IDEA); Ego: 74 (#466)}

IMMANUEL KANT’S PROLEGOMENA (1783) SECTION #13 AS IDEA @286: "All those who cannot yet get free of the conception, as if space and time were actual qualities attaching to things in themselves, can exercise their acuity on the following paradox, and, if they have sought its solution in vain, can then, free of prejudice at least for a few moments, suppose that perhaps the demotion of space and of time to mere forms of our sensory intuition may indeed have foundation.

If two things are fully the same (in all determinations belonging to magnitude and quality) in all the parts of each that can always be cognized by itself alone, it should indeed then follow that one, in all cases and respects, can be put in the place of the other, without this exchange causing the least recognizable difference. In fact this is how things stand
with plane figures in geometry; yet various spherical figures,
notwithstanding *THIS* *SORT* *OF* *COMPLETE* *INNER* *AGREEMENT*,
*NONETHELESS* *REVEAL* *SUCH* *A* *DIFFERENCE* *IN* *OUTER* *RELATION* *THAT* *ONE* *CANNOT* *IN* *ANY* *CASE* *BE* *PUT* *IN* *THE* *PLACE* *OF* *THE* *OTHER*; e.g., two spherical triangles from each of the hemispheres, which have an arc of the equator for a common base, can be fully equal with respect to their sides as well as their angles, so that nothing will be found in either, when it is fully described by itself, that is not also in the description of [IDEA: @286] the other, and still one cannot be put in the place of the other (that is, in the opposite hemisphere); and here is then after all an inner difference between the triangles that no understanding can specify as inner, and that reveals itself only through the outer relation in space. But I will cite more familiar instances that can be taken from ordinary life.

What indeed can be more similar to, and in all parts more equal to, my hand or my ear than its image in the mirror? And yet I cannot put such a hand as is seen in the mirror in the place of its original; for if the one was a right hand, then the other in the mirror is a left, and the image of the right ear is a left one, which can never take the place of the former. Now there are no inner differences here that any understanding could merely think; and yet the differences are inner as far as the senses teach, for the left hand cannot, after all, be enclosed within the same boundaries as the right (they cannot be made congruent), despite all reciprocal equality and similarity; one hand’s glove cannot be used on the other. What then is the solution?

These objects are surely not representations of things as they are in themselves, and as the pure understanding would cognize them, rather, they are sensory intuitions, i.e., appearances, whose possibility rests on the relation of certain things, unknown in themselves, to something else, namely our sensibility. Now, space is the form of outer intuition of this sensibility, and the inner determination of any space is possible only through the determination of the outer relation to the whole space of which the space is a part (the relation to outer sense); that is, the part is possible only through the whole, which never occurs with things in themselves as objects of the understanding alone, but does occur with mere appearances. We can therefore make the difference between similar and equal but nonetheless incongruent things (e.g., oppositely spiralled snails) intelligible through no concept alone, but only through the relation to right-hand and left-hand, which refers immediately to intuition." [pages 37-38]
ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 1606 HOURS ON 18 FEBRUARY 2019: "A genocidal psychopath is expected to be a bit more clever than very, very, stupid."

LIONS GROWL OF BUTCHERS FOUL
(SCREAMING.NUTBAG@GMAIL.COM) @ 2209 HOURS ON 19 FEBRUARY 2019: "Not even a useful idiot, this Fran.

[There is a picture of Leon Trotsky [were] you can see, he was happy to sit next to the world's greatest mass-murderer, Josef Stalin.

Together with Lenin, Trotsky and Stalin ushered in the world's single most murderous tyranny - a marxist-inspired government that murdered people in the tens of millions, imprisoned them, and reduced the remaining hundreds of millions to a state of utter poverty and deprivation from which they are now barely emerging, 100 years later.

...and people like Fran and Ordog, like the traitors such as Lee "Rhiannon" Brown, continue to apologise for the utter - the sheer evil - that is marxism."

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 2306 HOURS ON 19 FEBRUARY 2019: "Marxism is evil but is not racist and so it's appeal. Genocidal racist psychopaths like L* are the worst by far."

— FORTUNE COOKIE ANYONE? —
[Posted @ 0447 hours on 20 February 2019]

"CONTRADICTION CONTRADICTION.
THAT'S ALL WHICH I HEAR. {@3: Sup: 15 (#121); Ego: 77 (#130 - I AM NOT EVIL MINDED {%3})},
SUCH OPINION OF MALEDICTION. {@4: Sup: 49 (#170); Ego: 62 (#192 - I AM NOT SWOLLEN WITH PRIDE {%39})},
IT'S REALLY WITHOUT PEER. {@5: Sup: 27 (#197 - I AM NOT NOISY IN MY SPEECH {%33}); Ego: 20 (#212)},
BUT YOUR MIND IS SO SMALL.
LITTLE WONDER OF TRUTH TELL.
CHINESE MARXISM AND IT'S PALL.
CERTAINLY KNOWS DEATH'S KNELL." {@9: Sup: 61 (#331: SEE KANT'S PROLEGOMENA IDEA); Ego: 3 (#313)},

IMMANUEL KANT'S PROLEGOMENA (1783) SECTION #44 AS IDEA
@331: "[IDEA: @331] In this examination it is in general further noteworthy: *THAT* *THE* *IDEAS* *OF* *REASON* *ARE*
*NOT*, *LIKE* *THE* *CATEGORIES*, *HELPFUL* *TO* *US* *IN* *SOME* *WAY* *IN* *USING* *THE* *UNDERSTANDING* *WITH* *RESPECT* *TO* *EXPERIENCE*, *BUT* *ARE* *COMPLETELY* *DISPENSABLE* *WITH* *RESPECT* *TO* *SUCH* *USE*, *NAY*, *ARE* *CONTRARY* *TO* *AND* *OBSTRUCTIVE* *OF* *THE* *MAXIMS* *FOR* *THE* *COGNITION* *OF* *NATURE* *THROUGH* *REASON*, although they are still quite necessary in another respect, yet to be determined. In explaining the appearances of the soul, we can be completely indifferent to whether it is a simple substance or not; for we are unable through any possible experience to make the concept of a simple being sensorily intelligible, hence intelligible *IN* *CONCRETO*; and this concept is therefore completely empty with respect to all hoped-for insight into the cause of the appearances, and cannot serve as a principle of explanation of that which supplies inner or outer experience. Just as little can the cosmological ideas of the beginning of the world or the eternity of the world {*A* *PARTE* *ANTE*}:

This term and its converse: {*A* *PARTE* *POST*} are used to denote respectively the two notions of eternity in the past and eternity in the future. These notions involve a #312 - *CONTRADICTION*, inasmuch as the thought of eternity involves the illimitable, and the present constitutes a limit both to the past and the future. For the former, if we mean by the past eternity, infinite time, we make it impossible that the present or any given moment should ever be arrived at. For the latter, as we must start from the present, we must substitute for the idea of eternity that of an endless future.

This contradiction results from giving eternity the definition of infinite time, whereas it means independence of time, a state in which all is alike present, a state which can never be that of the creature, who can be endued with immortality, but cannot, like the high and the lofty One, inhabit eternity which as the Latin phrase {*NUNC* *FLUENS*}, Latin for "flowing now," is the belief in time and the flow of it through an eternity, never beginning nor stopping. The constant present of the latter has been entitled the Latin phrase {*NUNC* *STANS*} for "abiding now," is the belief that time itself doesn't exist, and that any distinctions between now, before and the future have either fallen away or don't exist.

) help us to explain any event in the world itself. Finally, in accordance with a correct maxim of natural philosophy, we must refrain from all explanations of the organization of nature drawn from the will of a supreme being, because this is no longer natural philosophy but an admission that we have come to the end of it.
These ideas therefore have a completely different determination of their use from that of the categories, through which (and through the principles built upon them) experience itself first became possible. Nevertheless our laborious analytic of the understanding would have been entirely superfluous, if our aim had been directed toward nothing other than mere cognition of nature insofar as such cognition can be given in experience; for reason conducts its affairs in both mathematics and natural science quite safely and quite well, even without any such subtle deduction; hence our critique of the understanding joins with the ideas of pure reason for a purpose that lies beyond the use of the understanding in experience, though we have said above that the use of the understanding in this regard is wholly impossible and without object or significance. There must nonetheless be agreement between what belongs to the nature of reason and of the understanding, and the former must contribute to the perfection of the latter and cannot possibly confuse it.

The solution to this question is as follows: Pure reason does not, among its ideas, have in view particular objects that might lie beyond the field [IDEA: @332] of experience, but it merely demands completeness in the use of the understanding in the connection of experience. This completeness can, however, only be a completeness of principles, but not of intuitions and objects. Nonetheless, in order to represent these principles determinately, reason conceives of them as the cognition of an object, cognition of which is completely determined with respect to these rules – though the object is only an idea – so as to bring cognition through the understanding as close as possible to the completeness that this idea signifies." [pages 83-84]

DOLF @ 1632 HOURS ON 19 FEBRUARY 2019: "Thusly it would behoove us to appraise any UMBRA / GEMATRIA Categories of Understanding which specifically have a value of #325 and what is fascinating here is the #41 - ONTIC notion of FORTY YEARS which introduces a logical fallacy of HETERONOMY against AUTONOMY {ie. #ONE} conceptions of EMANATION theology that presents to us a circularity which as intuited from the TORAH has as #4 - PRINCIPLE OF MATERIALITY as it’s grounding that as temporality is anchored within 49J1W2D as Jewish Exodus from Egypt and 40 years sojourn = 50J."

{
  "derivation" : "multiple of H702 (עַבּוֹר));",
  "pron" : "ar-baw-eem'",
  "outline" : {

"@1" : "forty"
},
"kjv_def" : "forty."
"lemma" : "'דרמאמלך",
"frequency" : 135,
"strongs_def" : "forty",
"xlit" : "'דרמאמלך",
"strongs" : "H705",
"umbra" : {
    "323" : [1, 200, 2, 70, 10, 600]
},
"gematria" : {
    "323" : {
        "0" : [1, 200, 2, 70, 10, 40],
        "1" : [1, 200, 2, 70, 10, 600]
    },
    "325" : [2, 1, 200, 2, 70, 10, 600],
    "328" : [5, 1, 200, 2, 70, 10, 600],
    "329" : [6, 1, 200, 2, 70, 10, 600],
    "343" : [20, 1, 200, 2, 70, 10, 600],
    "883" : [1, 200, 2, 70, 10, 600]
}
}

"derivation" : "from H142 (רָדָא) and H4428 (ְֶלֶמ; splendor of (the) king;",
"pron" : "ad-ram-meh'-lek",
"outline" : {
    "@0" : "Adrammelech = 'honour of the king'",
    "@1" : "or 'Adar is prince'",
    "@2" : "or 'Adar is Counsellor, Decider'",
    "@3" : "an idol or god of the Sepharvites, introduced to Israel by Shalmaneser the fifth",
    "@4" : "the son and murderer of Sennacherib"
},
"kjv_def" : "Adrammelech."
"lemma" : "אדרמְלך",
"frequency" : 3,
"strongs_def" : "Adrammelek, the name of an Assyrian idol, also of a son of Sennacherib",
"xlit" : "'Adrammelek",
"strongs" : "H152"
"umbra": {
    "295": [1, 4, 200, 40, 30, 500]
},
"gematria": {
    "295": [1, 4, 200, 40, 30, 20],
    "301": [6, 1, 4, 200, 40, 30, 500],
    "325": [30, 1, 4, 200, 40, 30, 500],
    "775": [1, 4, 200, 40, 30, 500]
}
}
{
    "derivation": "patrial from הָדוֹדְשַׁא (דודשא),",
    "pron": "ash-do-dee'",
    "outline": {
        "@0": "Ashdodites = 'I will spoil'",
        "@1": "an inhabitant of Ashdod"
    },
    "kjv_def": "Ashdodites, of Ashdod.",
    "lemma": "דודשא",
    "frequency": 5,
    "strongs_def": "an Ashdodite (often collectively) or inhabitant of Asdod",
    "xlit": "Ashdôwdîy",
    "strongs": "H796",
    "umbra": {
        "325": [1, 300, 4, 6, 4, 10]
    },
    "gematria": {
        "325": [1, 300, 4, 6, 4, 10],
        "336": [6, 5, 1, 300, 4, 6, 4, 10],
        "365": [1, 300, 4, 6, 4, 10, 600],
        "370": [5, 1, 300, 4, 6, 4, 10, 600],
        "376": [6, 5, 1, 300, 4, 6, 4, 10, 600],
        "731": [1, 300, 4, 6, 4, 10, 6, 400]
    }
}

I must confess that I didn't just accidentally stumble upon the word #325 {MAGIC SUM OF 5x5 = #65 - *SOLDIER*} as [#80, #1, #100, #1, #4, #10, #4, #70, #40, #5, #9, #1] = paradidomi (G3860), in that I was asleep and the thought "*THIS* *IS* *THE* *WORD* *WHICH* *YOU* *ought* *TO* *look* *at*" entering into my
mind was sufficient to CAUSE ME TO THEN AWaken and when I latter checked the word it was SO."

LIONS GROWL OF BUTCHERS FOUL (SCREAMING.NUTBAG@GMAIL.COM) @ 1828 HOURS ON 19 FEBRUARY 2019: "Again, which one are you? I just can't tell you apart."

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 2016 HOURS ON 18 FEBRUARY 2019: "Being very stupid, you cannot grasp the pearls of wisdom from those far beyond your mental level; and that is an ultralight cross that has to be borne by myself, that is Arindam (bin Einstein ban Gandi) Banerjee, greatest genius of all time, sole god among lotsa devils."

--- CONSTIPATED IDEAS ---

"OH SUCH NUGGET OF WISDOM.
BESTOWAL OF TURGID REALITY.
BIRTHED BY IGNORANT SCUM.
INFINITE AGE GIVING BANALITY.
HEY MISSUS NOW WIPE MY BUM.
ENDLESS REACH MY STUPIDITY." {@7: Sup: 33 (#325: MAGIC SUM OF 5x5 = #65 - *SOLDIER*); Ego: 60 (#318: SEE KANT'S PROLEGOMENA IDEA)}

KANT'S PROLEGOMENA (1783) EXCERPT OF IDEA: @318 FROM SECTION #36 - HOW IS NATURE ITSELF POSSIBLE?
This question, which is the highest point that transcendental philosophy *CAN* *EVER* *REACH*, *AND* *UP* *TO* *WHICH*, *AS* *ITS* *BOUNDARY* *AND* *COMPLETION*, it must be taken, actually contains two questions.

FIRST: How is nature possible in general in the material sense, namely, according to intuition, as the sum total of appearances; how are space, time {#325: MAGIC SUM OF 5x5 = #65 - SOLDIER {*DODECAHEDRON*}} as Principle of Syncretic Progression {#273} - *DO* *NOT* *KILL*

#1827 = 4 x #364 + #371 - ROMAN CATHOLIC LITURGICAL CALENDAR 2ND VATICAN COUNCIL / SAINT ANDREWS CAUSE CELEBRE FIVE YEAR CYCLE

}, and that which fills them both, the object of sensation {
#175 - MARRIAGE {*ICOSAHEDRON*} as Principle of Persistent Substance {#205} - Discriminating Norm {#369} / Principle of Enquiry {#364} - *DO* *NOT* *STEAL* 

#1827 = [#5, #400, #600, #1, #100, #10, #200, #300, #10, #1, #200] eucharistia / ευχαριστίας (G2169): 1) Thanksgiving; 2) *EUCHARIST*; 3) *TARGETED* *SINCE* *REFUSAL* *OF* *COMMUNION* upon PENTECOST SUNDAY 31 MAY 1998 AND 11 JUNE 2000.

After all the ENNEAD of THOTH and not the Roman Catholic Eucharist {ie. *ADOLF* *HITLER* & *ROMAN* *EMPIRE* *GOVERNANCE*}: @1 - FASCIST MOVEMENT IS A SPONTANEOUS RETURN TO THE TRADITIONS OF ANCIENT ROME, @5 - ROMAN EMPIRE GREAT POLITICAL CREATION OF STATE, #65 - *SOLDIER*, #175 - *MARRIAGE*: *DODECAHEDRON* + *ICOSAHEDRON* = TETRAD / *TETRACTYS*}, expresses an Anthropic Cosmological Principle which appears within its geometric conception as being equivalent to the Pythagorean TETRAD / TETRACTYS

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 1925 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "My point is that the racist bigots will do their best to ignore and pull down the highest, supreme talent, as giving publicity to the highest talent which does not conform to their biases, will make them feel small.

Which is a pain, for the arts and sciences. My other inventions and works are also ignored, by the ruling racist bigots, and that is not good for the progress of humanity.

Of course, this is just their style, to intimidate. Being highly theistic, I smile at such efforts on their part, for following my Catholic schooling I dedicate all my deeds to God.

Well, God in my case means the Hindu Gods and Goddesses mainly, but I am also very grateful to *JEHOVAH* and *BUNJIL* {
[IMAGE: Within Australian Aboriginal mythology, Bunjil is a creator deity, culture hero and ancestral being, often depicted as a wedge-tailed eagle [as similar modal depiction within the Egyptian Book of the Dead]. In the Kulin nation in central Victoria he was regarded as one of two moiety ancestors, the other being Waa the crow. Bunjil has two wives and a son, Binbeal the rainbow. His brother is Palian the bat]

> for looking after my family and I so well.

ÖRDÖG (DEVIL@YOUR.SCARY.SHADOW.EDU) @ 1152 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "Are you pissed at OZ for whatever (real or imaginary) personal grievances you have had?"

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 1925 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "No, I understand their limitations, so what is the point of getting pissed. I am well off after all, despite all machinations.

When one dedicates all one's works to God, then one can only admire the way God works: so fine, so admirable! Now that is not something the racist bigots will understand, for they are limited by their narrow sectarian visions. But, they too are a part of God, not that they will understand this, so they have to play their parts in the great game around."

ÖRDÖG (DEVIL@YOUR.SCARY.SHADOW.EDU) @ 1152 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "Believe me (or don't) there are many ways to discuss topics in newsgroups. Some are OK some ain't. Madly trolling, ignorant comments, drifting off topic, whinging/whining, posting disgruntled rants and alienating regular posters in your targeted
newsgroup is definitely not the right way to do it."

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 1925 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "In this case I was simply saying that India does produce things the world steals without giving due credit. Such as my works, and there have been plenty more over the ages and even now. The racist bigots will ignore, then steal the new ideas from the poor thirdworlders struggling for sheer existence."

ÖRDÖG (DEVIL@YOUR.SCARY.SHADOW.EDU) @ 1152 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "But feel free to try Facebook or some similar crazy social media instead of Usenet!"

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 1925 HOURS ON 27 JANUARY 2019: "I do use facebook. That is useful, for I can put in photos and videos.

<https://www.facebook.com/arindam.banerjee.31149359>

Usenet is useful as it is a very open medium where assertions are forcefully challenged. So new ideas placed on usenet, such as my inventions of the Hydrogen Transmission Network, or the Internal Force Engine, are open to criticism. It is a test by fire, very useful. A good way to improve, by absorbing the criticism from the public. Also it ensures that my new inventions remain my property, at least in the moral sense if not legal sense by patenting. That is some satisfaction. Publicity thus has its uses. Indians at least will remember my works, this I believe. Certainly some Australians as well, meaning my family and my friends here."

<http://www.grapple369.com/images/fascist.gif>
1) Telos (6,000 as 122J3W1D) = Arch (#0 as 22/7 = 3W1D) + c² is a genuine Sabbath based PARADIGM [Exodus 20:8; Deuteronomy 5:15]

As the relativity and grounding of the Infinite to the temporal reality (ie. the phenomenology as the deterministic unfolding of history) which is implied by the notion of rational PI and the cosmogonical Genesis reprise (#0) of the lunar / solar cycle occurring on the equinox of Wednesday 20th March 1996 / New Moon on Thursday 21st March 1996.

2) Pythagorean Theorem: c² = a² + b² is fascist (see discussion below) and now redundant as only a METHODOLOGY

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 0945 HOURS ON 18 FEBRUARY 2019: "Small minded, when not idiotic."

"AND PILATE WROTE A TITLE, AND PUT IT ON THE CROSS. AND THE WRITING WAS, JESUS OF NAZARETH THE KING OF THE JEWS {

#I (#10) - THE LEATHERS. "MADE OF THE HIDE OF MNEVIS, WHICH SUTU HATH SCORCHED," IS THY NAME {#10 - Totality of Nature / #7 - Engendering Nature}
#N (#50) - THE LOOK-OUT. "MASTER OF THE GROUNDS" IS THY NAME {#2 - Nature Rejoices in its Nature [#34 / #7 - Engendering Nature]}
#R (#200) - THE STREAM, SINCE THOU SAILEST UPON ME: "THEIR MIRROR" IS THY NAME {#8 - Transforming Nature {DOUBLE: #6 - Form of Nature {#9 - Autonomous Nature} [#505 / #1 - Nature Contains Nature} AS IMPLEMENTATION: {GRAVITAS: ASSISTING (#RESH to #TAU}) AND DEFINE THE @1 SOVEREIGN PRINCIPLE CHARACTERISTIC HERE}
#I (#10) is an anagram assigned from the PYTHAGOREAN usage of TERNIO which is derived from the Latin phrase "IESUS NAZARENUS REX IUDAЕORUM"

INRI@
  @1: Sup: 10 (#10); Ego: 10 (#10),
  @2: Sup: 60 (#70); Ego: 50 (#60),
  @3: Sup: 17 (#87); Ego: 38 (#98),
  @4: Sup: 27 (#114); Ego: 10 (#108),
  Male: #114 {#5 {#114 / #342 - TORAH} #6 {114 / #342 - ANKH / ROMAN}}; Feme: #108 - *PROGENITOR*
} // #270

"Idiotic is an alternate officially approved signature of Arindam Banerjee [Believe me since I am Arindam Banerjee (or we are, or, what the f@ck, who really knows)]"

**HITLER HIMSELF SAID OF FASCISM: 'WE MUST DISTINGUISH BETWEEN THE FASCIST POPULAR MOVEMENT AND THE POPULAR MOVEMENT IN RUSSIA. THE FASCIST MOVEMENT IS A SPONTANEOUS RETURN TO THE TRADITIONS OF ANCIENT ROME' [ADOLF HITLER'S TABLE TALK IDEA: @1 ON SATURDAY 5 JULY 1941]**

'It if the Duce were to die, it would be a great misfortune for Italy. As I walked with him in the gardens of the Villa Borghese, I could easily compare his profile with that of the Roman busts, and I realised he was one of the *CAESARS*. There's no doubt at all that Mussolini is the heir of the great men of that period. Despite their weaknesses, the Italians have so many qualities that make us like them.

Italy is the country where intelligence created the notion of the *STATE*. THE ROMAN EMPIRE IS A GREAT POLITICAL CREATION, THE GREATEST OF ALL.' [ADOLF HITLER'S TABLE TALK IDEA: @5 ON 21-22 JULY 1941]

}, possible in general? The answer is: by means of the constitution of our sensibility, in accordance with which our *SENSIBILITY* is affected in its characteristic way by objects that are in themselves unknown to it and that are wholly distinct from said appearances. This answer is, in the book itself, given in the Transcendental Aesthetic, but here in the Prolegomena through the solution of the first main question.

— EUROPEAN UNION'S RAPE —

"OH BOUDICA, REGINA HERO THIS MOMENT TO ALL GAPE. ETERNAL JUDGMENT OF NERO. FOR DAUGHTERS DID RAPE. {@6: Sup: 35 (#242); Ego: 1 (#180 - I COMMIT NOT ADULTERY WITH ANOTHER'S WIFE {%19}}

TESTIMONY {#873} BY MORTAL WILL. VICTORIA REMEBS THEE. WITHIN ROME'S GRASP STILL. {@9: Sup: 11 (#317 - SEE KANT'S IDEA: *THE* *SENSES* *DO* *NOT* *SUPPLY* *PURE*
SECOND: How is nature possible in the *formal* sense, as the sum total of the rules to which all appearances must be subject if they are to be thought as connected in one experience? The answer cannot come out otherwise than: it is possible only by means of the constitution of our understanding, in accordance with which all these representations of sensibility are necessarily referred to one consciousness, and through which, first, the characteristic manner of our thinking, namely by means of rules, is possible, and then, by means of these rules, experience is possible – which is to be wholly distinguished from insight into objects in themselves. This answer is, in the book itself, given in the Transcendental Logic, but here in the *Prolegomena*, in the course of solving the second main question.

"THEN PILATE {ARMED WITH A DART} THEREFORE TOOK JESUS {HE IS SAVED/A SAVIOUR; A DELIVERER} OF NAZARETH {SOVEREIGN; ONE CHOSEN OR SET APART; SEPARATED; CROWNED; SANCTIFIED}, AND SCOURGED HIM.

AND THE #65 - *SOLDIERS* PLATTED A CROWN OF THORNS, AND PUT IT ON HIS HEAD, AND THEY PUT ON HIM A PURPLE ROBE, AND SAID, HAIL, KING OF THE JEWS! AND THEY SMOTE HIM WITH THEIR HANDS.

PILATE THEREFORE WENT FORTH AGAIN, AND SAITH UNTO THEM, BEHOLD, I BRING HIM FORTH TO YOU, THAT YE MAY KNOW THAT I FIND NO FAULT IN HIM. THEN CAME JESUS FORTH, WEARING THE CROWN OF THORNS, AND THE PURPLE ROBE. AND PILATE SAITH UNTO THEM, BEHOLD THE MAN!

WHEN THE CHIEF PRIESTS THEREFORE AND OFFICERS SAW HIM, THEY CRIED OUT, SAYING, CRUCIFY HIM, CRUCIFY HIM. PILATE SAITH UNTO THEM, TAKE YE HIM, AND CRUCIFY HIM: FOR I FIND NO FAULT IN HIM.

THE JEWS ANSWERED HIM, WE HAVE A LAW, AND BY OUR LAW HE OUGHT TO DIE, BECAUSE HE MADE HIMSELF THE SON OF GOD. WHEN PILATE THEREFORE HEARD THAT SAYING, HE WAS THE MORE AFRAID; AND WENT AGAIN INTO THE JUDGMENT HALL, AND SAITH UNTO JESUS, WHENCE ART THOU? BUT JESUS GAVE HIM NO ANSWER.
THEN SAITH PILATE UNTO HIM, SPEAKEST THOU NOT UNTO ME? KNOWEST THOU NOT THAT I HAVE POWER TO CRUCIFY THEE, AND HAVE POWER TO RELEASE THEE?

JESUS ANSWERED, THOU COULDEST HAVE NO POWER AT ALL AGAINST ME, EXCEPT IT WERE GIVEN THEE FROM ABOVE: THEREFORE HE ᾧ

"ALWAYS BEARING ABOUT IN THE BODY THE DYING OF THE LORD JESUS, THAT THE LIFE ALSO OF JESUS MIGHT BE MADE MANIFEST IN OUR BODY. FOR WE WHICH LIVE ARE ALWAY DELIVERED ᾧ

\[ \text{paradidomi (G3860):} \]

1) to give into the hands (of another); 2) to give over into (one's) power or use; 3) to commit, to commend; 4) to deliver verbally; 5) to permit allow; 2a) to deliver to one something to keep, use, take care of, manage; 2b) to deliver up one to custody, to be judged, condemned, punished, scourged, tormented, put to death; 2c) to deliver up treacherously; 2c1) by betrayal to cause one to be taken; 2c2) to deliver one to be taught, moulded; 4a) commands, rites; 4b) *TO* *DELIVER* *BY* *NARRATING*, to report; 5a) when the fruit will allow that is when its *RIPENESS* permits; 5b) gives itself up, presents itself;

UNTIL DEATH FOR JESUS’ SAKE, THAT THE LIFE ALSO OF JESUS MIGHT BE MADE MANIFEST IN OUR MORTAL FLESH." [2 Corinthians 4:10 -11 (KJV)]

THAT DELIVERED ᾧ
T'AII HSÜAN CHING {POLAR OPPOSITIONS / INTERPLAY OF OPPOSITES} [4 BCE]:

UMBRA: #582 % #41 = #8 - Worth of Water, Easy By Nature; I-Ching: H48 - The Well, Welling; Tetra: 40 - Law/Model;

THOTH MEASURE: #8 - Oh thou of fiery face, whose motion is backwards; *I* *AM* *NOT* *A* *ROBBER* *OF* *SACRED* *PROPERTY*.

#VIRTUE: Opposition (no. #8) means recklessness.

#TOOLS: Ritual (no. #48) means squareness the correspondence between word and deed.

#POSITION: With Departure (no. #66), leaving the old, but

#TIME: With On the Verge (no. #78), coming to a new start.

#CANON: #200

ONTIC_OBLIGANS_200@{
   @1: Sup: 8 (#8); Ego: 8 (#8),
   @2: Sup: 56 (#64); Ego: 48 (#56),
   @3: Sup: 41 (#105); Ego: 66 (#122),
   @4: Sup: 38 (#143); Ego: 78 (#200 - I AM NOT A ROBBER OF SACRED PROPERTY (%8)),
   Male: #143; Feme: #200
} // #200

#582 as [#80, #1, #100, #5, #4, #70, #9, #8, #300, #5] = paradidomi (G3860):
{UMBRA: #43 as #582 % #41 = #8} 1) to give into the hands (of another); 2) to give over into (one's) power or use; 3) to commit, to commend; 4) to deliver verbally; 5) to permit allow; 2a) to deliver to one something to keep, use, take care of, manage; 2b) to deliver up one to custody, to be judged, condemned, punished, scourged, tormented, put to death; 2c) to deliver up treacherously; 2c1) by betrayal to cause one to be taken; 2c2) to deliver one to be taught, moulded; 4a) commands, rites; 4b)*TO* *DELIVER* *BY* *NARRATING*, to report; 5a) when the fruit will allow that is when its *RIPENESS* permits; 5b) gives itself up, presents itself;

} ME UNTO THEE HATH THE GREATER SIN.

AND FROM THENCEFORTH PILATE SOUGHT TO RELEASE HIM: BUT THE JEWS CRIED OUT, SAYING, IF THOU LET THIS MAN GO, THOU ART NOT CAESAR'S FRIEND: WHOSOEVER MAKETH HIMSELF A KING SPEAKETH AGAINST CAESAR." [John 19:1-12 (KJV)]

But how this characteristic property of our sensibility itself may be possible, or that of our understanding and of the necessary apperception that underlies it and all thinking, cannot be further solved and answered, because we always have need of them in turn for all answering and for all thinking of objects:

Thusly the question which I have in relation to such an interpretation given to Moses depiction of GENESIS is an existential and immaterial consideration of its consequence in being viewed as a trinomial rationality in light of both #2184 and derivations of #41 - ONTIC necessity as conveying the Anthropological Cosmogonic Principle (Dialectic):

6 x #364 - Principle of Enquiry {*VISHNU*}
7 x #312 - Principle of Contradiction {*SHIVA*}
8 x #273 - Principle of Syncretic Progression {*BRAHMA*}
WHAT THEN IS A-U-M?

“What then is A-U-M?

“For I say unto you, that except your righteousness shall exceed [the righteousness] of the scribes and Pharisees, ye shall in no case enter into the kingdom {ie. #2184} of heaven.” [Matthew 5:20 (KJV)]

Whom is holier than thou?

There are many #2184 - *LAWS* *OF* *NATURE* that we can know only through experience, but lawfulness in the connection of appearances, i.e., nature in [IDEA: @319] general, we cannot come to know through any experience, because experience itself has need of such laws, which lie a priori at the basis of its possibility.

The possibility of experience in general is thus at the same time the universal law of nature, and the principles of the former are themselves the laws of the latter. For we are not acquainted with nature except as the sum total of appearances, i.e., of the representations in us, and so we cannot get the laws of their connection from anywhere else except the principles of their connection in us, i.e., from the conditions of necessary unification in one consciousness, which unification constitutes the possibility of experience.

Even the main proposition that has been elaborated throughout this entire part, that universal #2184 - *LAWS* *OF* *NATURE* can be cognized a priori, already leads by itself to the proposition: that the highest legislation for nature must lie in our self, i.e., in our understanding, and that we must not seek the *UNIVERSAL* #2184 - *LAWS* *OF* *NATURE* from nature by means of experience, but, conversely, must seek nature, as regards its universal conformity to law, solely in the conditions of the possibility of experience that lie in our sensibility and understanding; for how would it otherwise be possible to become acquainted with these laws a priori, since they are surely not rules of analytic cognition, but are genuine synthetic amplifications of cognition? Such agreement, and indeed necessary agreement, between the principles of possible experience and the laws of the possibility of nature, can come about from only two causes: either these laws are taken from nature by means of experience, or, conversely, nature is derived from the laws of the possibility of experience in general and is fully identical with the mere universal lawfulness of experience. The first one contradicts itself, for the *UNIVERSAL* #2184 - *LAWS* *OF*
*NATURE* can and must be cognized *a priori* (i.e., independently of all experience) and set at the foundation of all empirical use of the understanding; so only the second remains.

We must, however, distinguish empirical *LAWS* of *NATURE*, which always presuppose particular perceptions, from the pure or *UNIVERSAL* *LAWS* of *NATURE*:

CAN GENESIS AND THE TEN COMMANDMENTS ALSO BE VIEWED IN THESE TERMS OF #451 = Y-M-T-A CIRCULARITY?:

DAY #1: Principle of Enquiry (#364 x 6 = #2184) - *REMEMBER* *THE* *SABBATH*
DAY #2: Principle of Contradiction (#312 x 7 = #2184) - *HONOUR* *PARENTS*
DAY #3: Principle of Syncretic Progression (#273 x 8 = #2184) - *DO* *NOT* *KILL*
DAY #4: Principle of Materiality (#164) - *AVOID* *HETERONOMY* *AGAINST* *AUTONOMY*
DAY #5: Principle of Persistent Substance (#205) - Discriminating Norm (#369) / Principle of Enquiry (#364) - *DO* *NOT* *STEAL*
DAY #6: Norma Obligans (Obligating Norm: #246) / Principle of Contradiction (#312) - *BEAR* *NO* *FALSE* WITNESS*
DAY #7: Manifesting Norm (#287) / Principle of Syncretic Progression (#273) - *COVET* *NOT*

Which, without having particular *PERCEPTIONS* *UNDERLYING* *THEM*, *CONTAIN* *MERELY* *THE* *CONDITIONS* *FOR* *THE* *NECESSARY* *UNIFICATION* *OF* *SUCH* *PERCEPTIONS* *IN* *ONE* *EXPERIENCE*; with respect to the latter laws, nature and *possible* experience are one and the same, and since in *POSSIBLE* *EXPERIENCE* *THE* *LAWFULNESS* *RESTS* on the necessary connection of appearances in one experience (without which we would not be able to cognize any object of the sensible world at all), and so on the original laws of the understanding, then, even though it sounds strange at first, it is nonetheless certain, if I say with respect to the *UNIVERSAL* *LAWS* of *NATURE*: the understanding does not draw its (a priori) *LAWS* *OF* *NATURE*, but prescribes them to it." [CAMBRIDGE TEXTS IN THE HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY, Kant's Prolegomena to Any Future Metaphysics, IDEA: @320, pages 69-71]

ARINDAM BANERJEE (BANERJEEADDA1234@GMAIL.COM) @ 0655 HOURS ON 18 FEBRUARY 2019: "[Being educated as a Roman Catholic] I live and thrive on and for this kind of shite, didn't you know?"
MIRROR OF ARINDAM BANERJEE (BRAINDEAD-WANKER@GMAIL.COM.INVALID) @ 1037 HOURS ON 18 FEBRUARY 2019: "Sure I know. I suspected as much about you right from the beginning!

Anglo-Celtic racist pigs must be a special breed!

How otherwise could one account for your 'special quality/quantity' Usenet output?

Cheer up! It won't be long before your utter mental decline has almost run its course."

LIONS GROWL OF BUTCHERS FOUL (SCREAMING.NUTBAG@GMAIL.COM) @ 0931 HOURS ON 18 FEBRUARY 2019: "Over a billion of them live among and thrive on shite, didn't you know."

- dolf

The various PDF resources being essays as work in progress notations for the prospect of producing a viable syncretism with Immanuel Kant's Ground Work for the Metaphysics of Morals are now available within the directory:

<http://www.grapple369.com/Groundwork/>
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