-- COMMENTARY ON PLANS FOR IMPLEMENTATION: A TECHNOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION OF SECTION 116 TO THE AUSTRALIAN CONSTITUTION ACT

(c) 2020 Dolf Leendert Boek, Revision: 26 March 2020

We noted today that the PAPAL AUTHORITY has recently stated in relation to New Technologies: "Rome Calls for Artificial Intelligence Ethics" the "need for renewed reflection on **#68 - *RIGHTS*** and **#27 - *DUTIES*** in this area".

<http://www.comece.eu/new-technologies-rome-call-for-artificial-intelligence-ethics>

THAT THE PAPAL AUTHORITY IS PERHAPS IN #434 - COVETOUS BREACH OF SOVEREIGN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AS CIRCUMSCRIBED BY QUEEN VICTORIA'S LETTERS PATENT 29 OCTOBER 1900

#1 - Chronos One
#2 - Chronos Two
#3 - Chronos Three
#4 - Chronos Four
#5 - Discriminating Norm
#6 - Obligating Norm
#7 - Manifesting Norm
#8 - Rights Prototype **<-- DIEU ET MON DROIT / TENEZ LE DROIT**
#9 - DAO of Nature
#10 - HOMOIOS Prototype **<-- SOVEREIGN / AUTONOMY HAS PRECEDENCE**
#11 - HETEROS Prototype **<-- ROMAN GOVERNANCE BINOMIAL {@1 / @5} STASIS**
#12 - TORAH Prototype **<-- 12 x #41 = #492 - VOLUNTARY FREE WILL**

Let's just briefly outline the goal of this development which we are undertaking during this 2 month semester relative to the viability as continuity of the #71 - WORLDVIEW as #81 - COMMONWEALTH by exhibiting a fidelity of SAPIENT COMPLIANCE with the requirements of **#27 - DUTIES / #68 - RIGHT** that are relevant to **#902 - RULE OF LAW** WITH ITS #1364 - PARADIGM FOR TOOLS OF #491 - RULE {**@82 - TERMS OF CONTINUITY**} and #873 - COMPASS OF PROBITY {**@205 - PRINCIPLE PERSISTENT SUBSTANCE**} WITH THE CONSTITUTIONAL ENTITLEMENT TO **@492 - VOLUNTARY FREEWILL {@369 / @123 - JUDGMENT SENSIBILITY (#3 x #3 - CENTRE INTERLOCK)**} AS FOUNDATIONAL STONE.

As our hypothetical development and educational scenario we want to

consider the characteristics of the LOGICAL SYLLOGISM as our TECHNOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION OF THE AUSTRALIAN CONSTITUTION ACT SECTION 116:

[
      35 - **GATHERING** (**LIEN**), 27 - *DUTIES* (**SHIH**),
      4 - **BARRIER** (**HSIEN**), 55 - **DIMINISHMENT** (**CHIEN**),
      68 - *RIGHT* / **DIMMING** (**MENG**), 36 - **STRENGTH** (**CH'IANG**),
      64 - **SINKING** (**CH'EN**), 42 - **GOING TO MEET** (**YING**)
]

Relative to the given situational context conveyed by the #71 - WORLDVIEW of our **GRAPPLE NORMA OBLIGANS [#364 / #369]@[#28, #50, #11, #75, #6, #45, #25, #67, #62] PROTOTYPE**. And it will be within those LOGICAL SYLLOGISM CATEGORIES OF UNDERSTANDING, that we will develop RULESET criteria.

Whist my informal METALOGIC research prototype is presently only capable of utilising a neural linguistic concept such as "**THEN SOMETHING TERRIBLE HAPPENED. SO WHERE CAN WE ESCAPE TO NOW?**", we are still capable of applying the DYNAMIC NATURAL ASSOCIATOR dataset relevant to the **vRULESET: SECTION 116 COMPLIANCE{#346 - TO APPOINT, CONSTITUTE, MAKE, DETERMINE, FIX, #331 - OBLIGATION OF OATH}@[#35, #27, #4, #55, #68, #36, #64, #42] PROTOTYPE** by simply appending it against the **GRAPPLE NORMA OBLIGANS [#364 / #369]@[#28, #50, #11, #75, #6, #45, #25, #67, #62] PROTOTYPE** to then similarly obtain only a hypothetical educational scenario as to its probable MORPHOLOGICAL outcome from which we ought to then conceptualise some sense of a horologue / homologue (for want of a better word) as a neural linguistic conception of its essential IDEA.

[#28, #50, #11, #75, #6, #45, #25, #67, #62, 35, 27, 4, 55, 68, 36, 64, 42]

.jackNote@zen: 3, row: 3, col: 2, nous: 7 [DATE: 2020.7.19, TIME: 07:50 hrs, SUPER: #400 / #33 - Achievable Goals, Virtue of Discrimination; I-Ching: H9 - Lesser Domestication, Minor Restraint, Small Accumulating, The taming power of the small, Small harvest; Tetra: 35 - GATHERING (LIEN), EGO: #361 / #7 - Intentional Reversal, Dimming Radiance; I-Ching: H12 - Obstruction, Stagnation, Selfish persons; Tetra: 56 - CLOSED MOUTH (CHIN)]

[#28, {**@1:** Sup: 28 - **CHANGE**: KENG (**#28**); Ego: 28 - **CHANGE**: KENG (**#28**)}
#50, {**@2:** Sup: 78 - **ON THE VERGE**: CHIANG (**#106**); Ego: 50 -

VASTNESS / WASTING: T'ANG (**#78**)}
#11, {**@3:** Sup: 8 - **OPPOSITION**: KAN (**#114**); Ego: 11 -
DIVERGENCE: CH'A (**#89**)}
#75, {**@4:** Sup: 2 - **FULL CIRCLE**: CHOU (**#116**); Ego: 75 - **FAILURE**:
SHIH (**#164**)}
#6, {**@5:** Sup: 8 - **OPPOSITION**: KAN (**#124**); Ego: 6 -
CONTRARIETY: LI (**#170**)}
#45, {**@6:** Sup: 53 - **ETERNITY**: YUNG (**#177 - I AM NOT GIVEN TO
CURSING** {**%29**}); Ego: 45 - **GREATNESS**: TA (**#215 - I AM
NEITHER A LIAR NOR A DOER OF MISCHIEF** {**%34**})}
#25, {**@7:** Sup: 78 - **ON THE VERGE**: CHIANG (**#255**); Ego: 25 -
CONTENTION: CHENG (**#240**)}
#67, {**@8:** Sup: 64 - **SINKING**: CH'EN (**#319**); Ego: 67 - **DARKENING**:
HUI (**#307**)}
#62, {**@9:** Sup: 45 - **GREATNESS**: TA (**#364**); Ego: 62 - **DOUBT**: YI
(**#369**)}
35, {**@10:** Sup: 80 - **LABOURING**: CH'IN (**#444**); Ego: 35 -
GATHERING: LIEN (**#404**)}
27, {**@11:** Sup: 26 - **ENDEAVOUR**: WU (**#470**); Ego: 27 - **DUTIES**:
SHIH (**#431**)}
4, {**@12:** Sup: 30 - **BOLD RESOLUTION**: YI (**#500**); Ego: 4 -
BARRIER: HSIEN (**#435**)}
55, {**@13:** Sup: 4 - **BARRIER**: HSIEN (**#504**); Ego: 55 -
DIMINISHMENT: CHIEN (**#490**)}
68, {**@14:** Sup: 72 - **HARDNESS**: CHIEN (**#576**); Ego: 68 - **DIMMING**:
MENG (**#558**)}
36, {**@15:** Sup: 27 - **DUTIES**: SHIH (**#603**); Ego: 36 - **STRENGTH**:
CH'IANG (**#594**)}
64, {**@16:** Sup: 10 - **DEFECTIVENESS, DISTORTION**: HSIEN (**#613**);
Ego: 64 - **SINKING**: CH'EN (**#658**)}
42] {**@17:** Sup: 52 - **MEASURE**: TU (**#665**); Ego: 42 - **GOING TO
MEET**: YING (**#700**)}

MALE: @177 = #177

FEME: @215 = #215

ONTIC CHECKSUM TOTAL: #392

<http://www.grapple369.com/Grumble/grumble.html?idea:{177}&idea:{215}&idea:{392}>

With the SPIRAL **SELECTOR@{NATURE: (EGO)}** providing a result
where the DYNAMIC LIMITED {ie. #9} TERM has a #CENTRE OF VALUE
PROPOSITION of **42 - GOING TO MEET (YING)**:

   4   6   11   **#21 +**

```
36    42    25    #103 = #124 +
35    28    27    #90 = #214
```

<http://www.grapple369.com/Grumble/grumble.html?idea:{21}&idea:{90}&idea:{103}&idea:{124}&idea:{214}&idea:{46}&idea:{126}>

Which is moderately not only within the boundary of the **vRULESET: SECTION 116 COMPLIANCE{#346 - TO APPOINT, CONSTITUTE, MAKE, DETERMINE, FIX, #331 - OBLIGATION OF OATH}@[#35, #27, #4, #55, #68, #36, #64, #42] PROTOTYPE** but conveys a premise relative to the **#21 - PRINCIPLE OF LIABILITY** associated to the **SOVEREIGN AUTONOMY**:

#21 as [#6, #2, #1, #8, #4] = 'echâd (**H259**): **{UMBRA: #1 as #13 % #41 = #13} 1)** one (number); **1a)** one (number); **1b)** each, every; **1c)** a certain; **1d)** an (indefinite article); **1e)** only, once, once for all; **1f)** one...another, the one...the other, one after another, one by one; **1g)** first; **1h)** eleven (in combination), eleventh (ordinal);

#103 as [#40, #2, #10, #1, #10, #600] = bôw' (**H935**): **{UMBRA: #13 as #9 % #41 = #9} 1)** to go in, enter, come, go, come in; **1a)** (Qal); **1a1)** to enter, come in; **1a2)** to come; **i)** to come with; **ii)** to come upon, fall or light upon, attack (enemy); **iii)** to come to pass; **1a3)** to attain to; **1a4)** to be enumerated; **1a5)** to go; **1b)** (Hiphil); **1b1)** to lead in; **1b2)** to carry in; **1b3)** to bring in, cause to come in, gather, cause to come, bring near, bring against, bring upon; **1b4)** to bring to pass; **1c)** (Hophal); **1c1)** to be brought, brought in; **1c2)** to be introduced, be put;

#90 as [#6, #10, #4, #70] / [#10, #4, #70, #6] = yâda' (**H3045**): **{UMBRA: #33 as #84 % #41 = #2} 1)** to know; **1a)** (Qal); **1a1)** to know; **i)** to know, learn to know; **ii)** to perceive; **iii)** to perceive and see, find out and discern; **iv)** to discriminate, distinguish; **v)** to know by experience; **vi)** to recognise, admit, acknowledge, confess; **vii)** to consider; **1a2)** to know, be acquainted with; **1a3)** to know (a person carnally); **1a4)** to know how, be skilful in; **1a5)** to have knowledge, be wise; **1b)** (Niphal); **1b1)** to be made known, be or become known, be revealed; **1b2)** to make oneself known; **1b3)** to be perceived; **1b4)** to be instructed; **1c)** (Piel) to cause to know; **1d)** (Poal) to cause to know; **1e)** (Pual); **1e1)** to be known; **1e2)** known, one known, acquaintance (participle); **1f)** (Hiphil) to make known, declare; **1g)** (Hophal) to be made known; **1h)** (Hithpael) to make oneself known, reveal oneself;

#124 as [#4, #70, #10, #600] = dêa' (**H1843**): **{UMBRA: #8 as #74 % #41 = #33} 1)** knowledge, opinion; **1a)** God's knowledge; **1b)** judgment, opinion;

#214 as [#80, #100, #4, #10, #500] = piqqûwd (**H6490**):
{UMBRA: #45 as #190 % #41 = #26} 1) *PRECEPT*, *STATUTE*;

THUS WE WOULD CONCLUDE THAT A *RULESET* PROTOCOL / PROCEDURE IS A KNOWLEDGE DATASET THAT *OUGHT* *TO* *BE* *LEGISLATIVE* *COMPLIANT*.

Furthermore as only a brief observational comment on this METALOGIC relationship to the PYTHAGOREAN #36 / #111 - CATEGORICAL IMPERATIVE {

#1 + #2;
#3 + #4;
#5 + #6;
#7 + #8 = #36

#9 x #4 or #3 x #3 x #4

} which is implicit to the notion of any **SPIRAL SELECTOR@{NATURE: (EGO)}** such as conveyed by the **SECTION 116** hypothetical educational example:

4	6	11	**#21 +**
36	42	25	**#103 = #124 +**
35	28	27	**#90 = #214**

That each STATIC / DYNAMIC LIMITED TERM being a PROPOSITIONAL DELIMITER RELATIVE to the #9 - AUTONOMOUS PRINCIPLE is then capable of resolution into a transformation dialectic of eight constituent components:

#ONE: #21 <-- FORMULA OF PROGRESSION {@6 - FORM OF NATURE / @3 - NATURE SURMOUNTS NATURE}

#TWO: #103 <-- AUTONOMOUS NATURE {PRINCIPLE OF LIABILITY}

#THREE: #90 <-- FORM OF NATURE
#FOUR: #124
#FIVE: #214
#SIX (IMPLEMENTATION): #21 + #25 = #46 as [#1, #30, #10, #5] = ’êl (**H413**): **{UMBRA: #7 as #31 % #41 = #31} 1) *TO*, *TOWARD*, *UNTO* (*OF* *MOTION*); 2) *INTO* (*LIMIT* *IS* *ACTUALLY* *ENTERED*); 2a)** in among; **3)** toward (of direction, not necessarily physical motion); **4)** against (motion or direction of a hostile character); **5)** in addition to, to; **6)** concerning, in regard to, in reference to, on account of; **7) *ACCORDING* *TO***

(*RULE* *OR* *STANDARD*); 8) at, by, against (of one's presence); **9)** in between, in within, to within, unto (idea of motion to);

#SEVEN (NURTURE): #90 + #36 = #126 as [#40, #1, #30, #5, #10, #600] / #46 as [#1, #30, #5, #10] = ʾĕlôhîym (**H430**): **{UMBRA: #2 as #86 % #41 = #4} 1)** (plural); **1a) *RULERS,* *JUDGES*; 1b)** divine ones; **1c)** angels; **1d)** gods; **2)** (plural intensive - singular meaning); **2a)** god, goddess; **2b)** godlike one; **2c)** works or special possessions of God; **2d)** the (true) God; **2e)** God;

#EIGHT (#CENTRE OF VALUE): #42 as [#6, #2, #10, #4, #500] = yâd (**H3027**): **{UMBRA: #46 as #14 % #41 = #14} 1)** hand; **1a) *HAND* (*OF* *MAN*); 1b) *STRENGTH*, *POWER* (fig.); 1c)** side (of land), part, portion (metaphor) (fig.); **1d)** (various special, technical senses); **1d1) *SIGN*, *MONUMENT*; 1d2)** part, fractional part, share; **1d3)** time, repetition; **1d4)** axle-trees, axle; **1d5)** stays, support (for laver); **1d6)** tenons (in tabernacle); **1d7)** a phallus, a hand (meaning unsure); **1d8) *WRISTS*;**

<https://metro.co.uk/2019/03/15/new-zealand-terrorist-makes-white-power-hand-symbol-court-8911986/>

IMAGE: Beginning in 2017, the gesture was at the centre of an online prank in meme culture related to alt-right and white power supremacy originating from anonymous message board posts on the website 4chan. The Boston Globe reported that users on 4chan's "/pol/" (Politically

Incorrect) board were instructed in February 2017 to 'flood Twitter and other social media websites...claiming that the OK hand sign is a symbol of white supremacy,' as part of a campaign dubbed "*OPERATION* *O-KKK*"

ONE CANNOT EASILY DISMISS THE NEXUS OF THE *CHRISTCHURCH* EVENT AS A "TERRORIST ATTACK" KILLING 51 PERSONS [**THAT ALTHOUGH NOT ORDERED BY ANY GROUP AS A DECISION TARRANT MADE HIMSELF, HE 'DID CONTACT THE REBORN KNIGHTS TEMPLAR (A ROMAN CATHOLIC ORDER) FOR A BLESSING IN SUPPORT OF THE ATTACK, WHICH WAS GIVEN.**' [cf: Tarrant's Manifesto emailed to Ardern, page 9 of 73]

"AND THE LORD GOD SAID, BEHOLD, THE MAN IS BECOME AS ONE OF US, TO KNOW GOOD AND EVIL: AND NOW, LEST HE PUT FORTH HIS HAND-**H3027**, AND TAKE ALSO OF THE TREE OF LIFE, AND EAT, AND LIVE FOR EVER:" [**Genesis 3:22**]

YOUTUBE: "Michael W. Smith (Agnus Dei)"

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HPBmFwBSGb0>

And we note the resultant ONTIC PREMISE #392 is not unlike our earlier PROPOSITIONAL DELIMITER outcome where we considered an actual real life observation by a FIRE ZONE EVACUEE within a 70 km proximity, as made upon the 30 DECEMBER 2019, from which we derived a notion of **#51 - CONSTANCY (CH'ANG)** but which we had nuanced as a need for COMPLIANCE in being a concern for **#27 - DUTY** purveying some beneficial ACTION related to CALAMITY.

THEN BEING CONFLATED BY AN ADVERSE PROTOTYPE vEVENT:

{**@1:** Sup: 79 - **DIFFICULTIES**: NAN (**#79**); Ego: 9 - **BRANCHING OUT**: SHU (**#9**)}
{**@2:** Sup: 70 - **SEVERANCE**: KE (**#149**); Ego: 32 - **LEGION**: CHUANG (**#41**)}

And against which there was a new conditional adverse vEVENT as a neural linguistic conception conveying an urgency: "**THEN SOMETHING TERRIBLE HAPPENED. SO WHERE CAN WE ESCAPE TO NOW?**" that imposed a MORPHOLOGICAL condition onto our previously STATIC LIMITED {ie. #9} TERM of **#51 - CONSTANCY (CH'ANG)** and the result is a DYNAMIC LIMITED {ie. #9} TERM of **#46 - ENLARGEMENT (K'UO)** purveying a different CONTINGENCY {ie. a provision for an unforeseen event or circumstance which is uncertain}.

THUSLY GIVEN:

#51 - CONSTANCY (CH'ANG) --> vEVENT --> **#46 - ENLARGEMENT (K'UO)**

We then considered as natural order properties of our logical system related to QUEEN VICTORIA'S LETTERS PATENT, the hypothetical educational scenario given the situational context conveyed by our GRAPPLE NORMA OBLIGANS **[#364 / #369]@[#28, #50, #11, #75, #6, #45, #25, #67, #62]** PROTOTYPE that would reorder the PROPOSITIONAL DELIMITER into an ascending order:

#75 - FAILURE (SHIH) --> vEVENT --> **#62 - DOUBT (YI)**

As the **SPIRAL SELECTOR@{NATURE: (EGO)}** prototype conveying the following reordering of the NORMA OBLIGANS PROTOTYPE result:

6	11	25	**#42 +**
67	75	28	**#170 = #212 +**
62	50	45	**#157 = #369**

And concluded that if my starting state is already quagmired and the **#369 - DISCRIMINATING NORM** is entirely dysfunctional due to a state of **#312 - CONTRADICTION** and incapacitated in being overcome with the irrationality of **#212 - FEAR** and no amount of IDEALISM {ie. representing an abstract or hypothetical optimum} or **#1315 - OPERANT CONDITIONING** by an IDEOLOGY such as the mantric advice IF vEVENT OCCURS THEN DO THAT, is then meritorious given our situational ONTIC GROUNDING which reasonably as an avenue of **#364 - ENQUIRY**, is then going to **#392 - SAVE ME FROM MY TROUBLES**.

In addition to our task as the implementation of a dynamic number of panel objects within the **SPIRAL SELECTOR@{...}** what we then ought to do in the circumstance as a need to build a TOOL for the possibility of **DEDUCTIVE** (ie. as conforming to an existing impetus of action) **REASONING** within a given vRULESET, we also have to consider a condition of **INDUCTIVE REASONING** as the possibility for inclusion within a vRULESET which might use either the **TIME / DATE / NOW** as HEURISTIC being the TEMPLATE basis to model the vEVENT by its neural linguistic characteristic and a hypothetical action of TRANSMUTATION (AUGMENTATION / AMELIORATION) to then determine the basis of an IDEA outcome.

Because we want to see whether we can deduce by means of METALOGIC a most likely outcome against which then ought to be applied a PROBABILITY determination as consideration against such a possible scenario. My concern here is only with the METALOGIC so that the later CONTINGENCY PROBABILITY can then be applied by any relevant

AGENCY...

So we'll need to modify our TEXT ENTRY panel to accommodate a NOW option in addition to the TIME / DATE as NOUMENON FOCUS and be capable of generating the **.jackNote()** on that basis without any requisite need for the addition of text.

And its very likely that once we've implemented the:

NATURE: {**Ego**};
NURTURE: {**Supernal**} and the
SYNCRETIC: {**Amalgam**} prototypes

As a dynamic number of panel objects within the **SPIRAL SELECTOR@{...}** that the TEXT ENTRY panel itself can be vEVENT focused so as to enable the AUGMENTATION / AMELIORATION capability.

But that is a longer term goal. And suffice to say it is prudent to give some thought on how one ought to proceed.

SEE ALSO: "**A TECHNOLOGICAL INTERPRETATION OF SECTION 116 TO THE AUSTRALIAN CONSTITUTION ACT**"

<http://www.grapple369.com/Groundwork/
Section%20116%20of%20Constitution.pdf>

Initial Post: 25 March 2020