

– **(DRAFT) UNDERSTANDING THE UK SUPREME COURT'S PROROGUING JUDGEMENT IN TERMS OF ONTIC JURISPRUDENCE CRYSTALLISATION AND CAUTERISATION OF SOVEREIGN PREROGATIVE SO AS TO ENABLE BREXIT TO OCCUR**

(c) 2019 Dolf Leendert Boek, Revision: 25 September 2019

**CRYSTALLIZE:** to give something a definite or precise form; [Latin: chrystallinus; Etymology from Ancient Greek κρυστάλλινος (krustállinos, "crystal")]:

[κ, {**@1:** Sup: 20 - **ADVANCE:** CHIN (**#20**); Ego: 20 - **ADVANCE:** CHIN (**#20**)},  
ρ, {**@2:** Sup: 39 - **RESIDENCE:** CHU (**#59**); Ego: 19 - **FOLLOWING:** TS'UNG (**#39**)},  
υ, {**@3:** Sup: 34 - **KINSHIP:** CH'IN (**#93**); Ego: 76 - **AGGRAVATION:** CHU (**#115 - I AM NOT A SLAYER OF MEN {%5}**)},  
ς, {**@4:** Sup: 72 - **HARDNESS:** CHIEN (**#165**); Ego: 38 - **FULLNESS:** SHENG (**#153**)},  
τ, {**@5:** Sup: 48 - **RITUAL:** LI (**#213**); Ego: 57 - **GUARDEDNESS:** SHOU (**#210 - I AM NOT OF AGGRESSIVE HAND {%30}**)},  
α, {**@6:** Sup: 49 - **FLIGHT:** T'AO (**#262**); Ego: 1 - **CENTRE:** CHUNG (**#211**)},  
λ, {**@7:** Sup: 79 - **DIFFICULTIES:** NAN (**#341**); Ego: 30 - **BOLD RESOLUTION:** YI (**#241**)},  
λ, {**@8:** Sup: 28 - **CHANGE:** KENG (**#369**); Ego: 30 - **BOLD RESOLUTION:** YI (**#271**)},  
ι, {**@9:** Sup: 38 - **FULLNESS:** SHENG (**#407**); Ego: 10 - **DEFECTIVENESS, DISTORTION:** HSIEN (**#281**)},  
ν, {**@10:** Sup: 7 - **ASCENT:** SHANG (**#414**); Ego: 50 - **VASTNESS / WASTING:** T'ANG (**#331**)},  
ο, {**@11:** Sup: 77 - **COMPLIANCE:** HSUN (**#491**); Ego: 70 - **SEVERANCE:** KE (**#401**)},  
ς] {**@12:** Sup: 34 - **KINSHIP:** CH'IN (**#525**); Ego: 38 - **FULLNESS:** SHENG (**#439**)},

**ONTIC CHECKSUM:** @115 + @210 = **#325** as [**#6, #10, #300, #3, #6**] = nâsag (**H5381**): {**UMBRA: #19 as #353 % #41 = #25**} **1**) to reach, overtake, take hold upon; **1a**) (Hiphil); **1a1**) to overtake; **1a2**) to reach, attain to, cause to reach; **1a3**) to be able to secure, reach, have enough;

<<https://www.grapple369.com/Grumble/?idea:{325}>>

**CAUTERIZE:** [Ancient Greek καυτηριάζω (kautēriázō, "to brand")] to burn with a hot iron as judicial censure in relation to ONTIC JURISPRUDENCE of SOVEREIGN PREROGATIVE as RIGHT:

[κ, {**@1:** Sup: 20 - **ADVANCE:** CHIN (**#20**); Ego: 20 - **ADVANCE:** CHIN (**#20**)},  
α, {**@2:** Sup: 21 - **RELEASE:** SHIH (**#41**); Ego: 1 - **CENTRE:** CHUNG (**#21**)},  
υ, {**@3:** Sup: 16 - **CONTACT:** CHIAO (**#57**); Ego: 76 - **AGGRAVATION:** CHU (**#97**)},  
τ, {**@4:** Sup: 73 - **ALREADY FORDING, COMPLETION:** CH'ENG (**#130** - **I AM NOT EVIL MINDED {%3}**); Ego: 57 - **GUARDEDNESS:** SHOU (**#154**)},  
η, {**@5:** Sup: 81 - **FOSTERING:** YANG (**#211**); Ego: 8 - **OPPOSITION:** KAN (**#162**)},  
ρ, {**@6:** Sup: 19 - **FOLLOWING:** TS'UNG (**#230**); Ego: 19 - **FOLLOWING:** TS'UNG (**#181** - **I LEND NOT A DEAF EAR TO THE WORDS OF RIGHTEOUSNESS {%24}** / **I AM NOT ONE WHO CURSETH THE KING {%35}**)},  
ι, {**@7:** Sup: 29 - **DECISIVENESS:** TUAN (**#259**); Ego: 10 - **DEFECTIVENESS, DISTORTION:** HSIEN (**#191** - **I DO NOT STEAL THE SKINS OF THE SACRED ANIMALS {%32}**)},  
α, {**@8:** Sup: 30 - **BOLD RESOLUTION:** YI (**#289**); Ego: 1 - **CENTRE:** CHUNG (**#192** - **I AM NOT SWOLLEN WITH PRIDE {%39}**)},  
ζ, {**@9:** Sup: 37 - **PURITY:** TS'UI (**#326**); Ego: 7 - **ASCENT:** SHANG (**#199**)},  
ω] {**@10:** Sup: 27 - **DUTIES:** SHIH (**#353**); Ego: 71 - **STOPPAGE:** CHIH (**#270**)},

**ONTIC CHECKSUM:** @130 + @181 + @191 + @192 = **#694** as [**#4, #70, #50, #300, #70, #200**] = díđōmi (**G1325**): {**UMBRA: #11 as #868 % #41 = #7**} **1**) to give; **2**) to give something to someone; **2a**) of one's own accord to give one something, to his advantage; **2a1**) to bestow a gift; **2b**) to grant, give to one asking, let have; **2c**) to supply, furnish, necessary things; **2d**) to give over, deliver; **2d1**) to reach out, extend, present; **2d2**) of a writing; **2d3**) to give over to one's care, intrust, commit; **i**) something to be administered; **ii**) to give or commit to some one something to be religiously observed; **2d4**) to give what is due or obligatory, to pay: wages or reward; **2d5**) to furnish, endue; **2e**) to give; **2e1**) to cause, profuse, give forth from one's self; **i**) to give, hand out lots; **2e2**) to appoint to an office; **2e3**) to cause to come forth, i.e. as the sea, death and Hell are said to give up the dead who have been engulfed or received by them; **2e4**) to give one to someone as his own; **i**) as an object of his saving care; **ii**) to give one to someone, to follow

him as a leader and master; **iii**) to give one to someone to care for his interests; **iv**) to give one to someone to whom he already belonged, to return; **2e5**) to grant or permit one; **i**) to commission;

<<http://www.grapple369.com/Grumble/?idea:{694}>>

**FOR INSTANCE SUCH PROPOSITION OF THE RULE:** That Parliament should only have been prorogued from a date between 9th and 12th September until #41 (#60 - pre-platonic schema of #81 x 4.5 days) - 13 to 17 September especially so as to LAWFULLY accomplish its constitutional function of both differentiating BRITISH SOVEREIGNTY and asserting it's trinomial entitlement in relation to the European Union.

SINCE THE HEARING OF THE MATTER BEGAN BEFORE THE SUPREME COURT UPON 17 SEPTEMBER 2019 THE JUDGEMENT COULD CRYSTALLIZE AND CAUTERIZE THE SOVEREIGN MECHANICS OF PROCESS FOR BREXIT TO THEN OCCUR.

Serious grounds for censure against presiding officers (presidents, chairmen, etc.) are, in general: arrogation or assumption by the presiding officer of dictatorial powers – powers not conferred upon him by law – by which he harasses, embarrasses and humiliates members; or, specifically:

- (1) he refuses to recognize members entitled to the floor;
- (2) he refuses to accept and to put canonical motions to vote;
- (3) he refuses to entertain appropriate appeals from his decision;
- (4) he ignores proper points of order;
- (5) he disobeys the bylaws and the rules of order;
- (6) he disobeys the assembly's will and substitutes his own;
- (7) he denies to members the proper exercise of their constitutional or parliamentary rights.

A censure is an expression of strong disapproval or harsh criticism. In parliamentary procedure, it is a debatable main motion that could be adopted by a majority vote. Among the forms that it can take are a stern rebuke by a legislature, a spiritual penalty imposed by a church, or a negative judgment pronounced on a theological or metaphysical proposition. [<https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Censure>>]

The proroguing of the UK parliament only needed to be from #41 (#60 - pre-platonic schema of #81 x 4.5 days) - 13 to 17 September especially so as to accomplish its constitutional function of both differentiating BRITISH SOVEREIGNTY and asserting it's trinomial entitlement in relation to the European Union which is principally subject to Roman Empire

governance having an attribution of binomial stasis ...

**"LADY HALE AND LORD REED GIVING THE JUDGMENT OF THE COURT:**

**1.** IT IS IMPORTANT TO EMPHASISE THAT THE ISSUE IN THESE APPEALS IS NOT WHEN AND ON WHAT TERMS THE UNITED KINGDOM IS TO LEAVE THE EUROPEAN UNION. THE ISSUE IS WHETHER THE ADVICE GIVEN BY THE PRIME MINISTER TO HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN ON 27TH OR 28TH AUGUST 2019 THAT PARLIAMENT SHOULD BE PROROGUED FROM A DATE BETWEEN 9TH AND 12TH SEPTEMBER UNTIL 14TH OCTOBER WAS LAWFUL. IT ARISES IN CIRCUMSTANCES WHICH HAVE NEVER ARISEN BEFORE AND ARE UNLIKELY EVER TO ARISE AGAIN. IT IS A **"ONE OFF"**. BUT OUR LAW IS USED TO RISING TO SUCH CHALLENGES AND SUPPLIES US WITH THE LEGAL TOOLS TO ENABLE US TO REASON TO A SOLUTION.

**8.** THE MACHINERY FOR LEAVING THE EUROPEAN UNION IS CONTAINED IN ARTICLE 50 OF THE TREATY ON EUROPEAN UNION. THIS PROVIDES THAT ANY MEMBER STATE MAY DECIDE TO WITHDRAW FROM THE UNION **"IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS \*OWN\* \*CONSTITUTIONAL\* \*REQUIREMENTS\*"**.

OUR ADVICE UPON THE HISTORICAL PRECEDENT: **AS THEN THE EXPRESSION OF THE #390 - SOVEREIGN'S \*RESERVE\* (APODIDOMI v's DIDOMI) RIGHT IN DEPLOYING THE #45 - HETERO SQUARE SPIROGYRA ORDER ARRAY BEING CONSISTENT WITH THE USAGE WITHIN THE GENERAL #391 - HOMOGENEOUS PROTOTYPE:**

**#1 + #2 + #3 = @6 - FORM OF NATURE / @3 - NATURE SURMOUNTS NATURE:** the people of @1 - New South Wales, @2 - Victoria, @3 - South Australia, @4 - Queensland, and @5 - Tasmania and also @6 - Western Australia, should be united in a Federal Commonwealth of Australia

**#8 + #9 + #4 = @21 - AUTONOMOUS NATURE {LIABILITY}:** We do hereby reserve to Ourselves Our heirs and successors, full power and authority from time to time to revoke, alter, or amend these Our Letters Patent as to Us or them shall seem meet.

**#7 + #6 + #5 = @18 - FORM OF NATURE:** And whereas by "The Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900," it is amongst other things enacted, that we may authorise the Governor General to appoint any person or persons, jointly or severally, to be his Deputy or Deputies

within any part of Our Commonwealth, and in that capacity to exercise, during the pleasure of the Governor General such powers, and functions of the said Governor General as he thinks fit to assign to such Deputy or Deputies, subject to any limitations expressed or directions given by Us: Now We do hereby authorise and empower Our said Governor General subject to such limitations and directions as aforesaid, to appoint any person or persons, jointly or severally, to be his Deputy or Deputies within any part of Our said Commonwealth of Australia, and in that capacity to exercise, during his pleasure, such of his powers and functions, as he may deem it necessary or expedient to assign to him or them: Provided always, that the appointment of such a Deputy or Deputies shall not affect the exercise by the Governor General himself of any power or function."

THAT MEMBER STATE IS TO NOTIFY THE EUROPEAN COUNCIL OF ITS INTENTION. THE UNION MUST THEN NEGOTIATE AND CONCLUDE AN AGREEMENT WITH THAT MEMBER STATE, "SETTING OUT THE ARRANGEMENTS FOR ITS WITHDRAWAL, TAKING ACCOUNT OF THE FRAMEWORK FOR ITS FUTURE RELATIONSHIP WITH THE UNION". THE EUROPEAN UNION TREATIES WILL CEASE TO APPLY TO THAT STATE WHEN THE WITHDRAWAL AGREEMENT COMES INTO FORCE OR, FAILING THAT, TWO YEARS AFTER THE NOTIFICATION UNLESS THE EUROPEAN COUNCIL, IN AGREEMENT WITH THE MEMBER STATE, UNANIMOUSLY DECIDES TO EXTEND THIS PERIOD." [JUDGMENT given on 24 September 2019 within CASE NUMBERS: [2019] UKSC 41 on appeals from: [2019] EWHC 2381 (QB) and [2019] CSIH 49

HEARD on 17, 18 and 19 September 2019 before:

Lady Hale,  
President Lord Reed,  
Deputy President Lord Kerr  
Lord Wilson  
Lord Carnwath  
Lord Hodge  
Lady Black  
Lord Lloyd-Jones  
Lady Arden  
Lord Kitchin  
Lord Sales]

**IMMANUEL KANT'S PROLEGOMENA (1783) ON HYPOSTATIC NATURAL UNION OF GODHEAD AND MANHOOD BY GIVEN RULE (CASUS DATAE LEGIS) AS IDEA @A133: "If the understanding in**

general is defined as the faculty of rules, then the power of judgment is the faculty of subsuming under rules, that is, of deciding whether or not something falls under a given rule (**CASUS DATAE LEGIS**).

**NOTE:** This sense is borrowed from lawyerly usage, not from logic, for, as Kant shows, logic has nothing to say regarding this operation. There are, and there can be, no rules regarding the application of rules. If Kant is right, a sizable part of what we take to be "law," and almost all jurisprudence, are nothing but a futile striving to overcome this essential unruliness of judgment. How can it be that the saying of law is lawless? [cf: Judgment, Philippe Nonet, Berkeley Law, Volume 48 of Vanderbilt Law Review (1995), page 987]

<<https://scholarship.law.berkeley.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2079&context=facpubs>>

General logic contains no precepts at all for the power of judgment, and indeed cannot contain any. For since it abstracts from all content of cognition, nothing remains to it except the business of analytically dividing [**IDEA @A133**] the mere **\*FORM\* \*OF\* \*COGNITION\* \*INTO\* \*CONCEPTS\*, \*JUDGMENTS\*, \*AND\* \*INFERENCES\*, \*AND\* \*THEREBY\* \*ACHIEVING\* \*FORMAL\* [EPISTEMOLOGICAL PREMISE] \*RULES\* \*FOR\* \*EVERY\* \*USE\* \*OF\* \*THE\* \*UNDERSTANDING\***. Now if it wanted to show in general how one should subsume under these rules, that is, decide whether or not something falls under them, this could happen again only through a rule. But just because it is a rule, this once more requires instruction for the power of judgment, and so shows that although the understanding is capable of being instructed and equipped through rules, the power of judging is a special talent that can by no means be taught, but only practiced. Hence this is also what is specific to so-called mother wit, lack of which cannot be remedied by any school; for, although such a school can abundantly supply a limited understanding with rules derived from the insight of others, and as it were graft them onto it, the faculty for using them correctly must nonetheless belong to the pupil himself, and no rule that one might prescribe for him in this regard is, in the absence of such natural endowment, safe from misuse. Hence a **\*PHYSICIAN\*, A \*JUDGE\*, OR A \*STATESMAN\* \*CAN\* \*HAVE\* \*MANY\* \*FINE\* \*PATHOLOGICAL\*, \*JURIDICAL\*, \*OR\* \*POLITICAL\* \*RULES\* \*IN\* \*HIS\* \*HEAD\* {**

**AS \*HYPOSTATIC\* \*NATURAL\* \*UNION\* \*OF\* \*GODHEAD\* \*AS\* \*IMAGO\* \*DEI\* \*AND\* \*MANHOOD\* BEING INNATE PERSONA BY \*TRIFECTA\* (TRI: THREE + PERFECTA / EXĀCTUS: #38 + #73 +**

**#111 + #117 = 3 x #111 + #6) AS QUEEN VICTORIA'S LETTERS PATENT DATED 29 OCTOBER 1900 BEING THE #390 - SOVEREIGN'S \*RESERVE\* (APODIDOMI v's DIDOMI) RIGHT IN DEPLOYING THE #45 - HETERO SQUARE SPIROGYRA ORDER ARRAY BEING CONSISTENT WITH THE USAGE WITHIN THE GENERAL #391 - HOMOGENEOUS PROTOTYPE AS BREXIT SOLUTION BY GIVEN RULE (CASUS DATAE LEGIS)**

}, to the degree that he can himself become a solid teacher of such, and yet in the application of the rules he will easily blunder, either because he is lacking in the natural power of judgment (though not in understanding) and can indeed understand the general case **\*IN\* \*ABSTRACTO\*** but cannot decide whether a case **\*IN\* \*CONCRETO\*** belongs under it, or else because he has not been adequately trained in such judgment through examples and actual dealings. This is indeed the sole and great benefit of examples: that they sharpen the power of judgment." [pages 172 to 173]

EXCERPT FROM: "TOOLS of #491 - RULE {@82 - TERMS OF CONTINUITY} and #873 - COMPASS {@205 - PRINCIPLES OF PROBITY AS PERSISTENT SUBSTANCE} AS #1364 - PARADIGM FOR UNDERSTANDING QUEEN VICTORIA'S LETTERS PATENT DATED 29 OCTOBER 1900"

<<http://www.grapple369.com/Groundwork/Tools%20of%20Rule%20and%20Compass.pdf>>

DOLF: "The face of the earth does seem to apply here as being an applicable question to how your demonic / hell bent as pious delusion of binomial stasis immateriality has relevance to its nomenclature ...

I'll convey an alternative trinomial noumenon perspective of GODHEAD / IMAGO DEI as response to your other glib comment.

I've overnight been giving some consideration to the nature of our proposed GNOMIC IMPERATIVE INSTRUCTION SET [3x3 array] as logical dialectic syllogism {#1+#2+#3 = #6 / #8+#9+#4 = #20 / #7+#6+5 = #18} which we will later obtain from the frequency occurring within the 9x9 array. This will be populated in the following order..

#1 #2 #3  
#8 #9 #4  
#7 #6 #5

And the circumstance we have to consider is that there are three approaches to this logical syllogism and whether or not it conveys any facial disposition and moral impetus given **#81 + #80 + #79 = #240 as [#40, #80, #50, #10, #20, #600] = pânîym (H6440): {UMBRA: #43 as #180 % #41 = #16} 1) face; 1a) face, faces; 1b) presence, person; 1c) face (of seraphim or cherubim); 1d) face (of animals); 1e) face, surface (of ground); 1f) as adv of loc/temp; 1f1) before and behind, toward, in front of, forward, formerly, from beforetime, before; 1g) with prep; 1g1) in front of, before, to the front of, in the presence of, in the face of, at the face or front of, from the presence of, from before, from before the face of;**

That I have provided a mechanism of solution, devolution or evolution using a neural linguistic approach to the #2184 - LAWS OF NATURE as ANTHROPOLOGIC COSMOGONIC PRINCIPLE which is something all together distinct to your being enamoured by a fruit of the womb prerogative.

THAT IF THE EXTENT **#81 + #80 + #79 = #240 AS FACE (OF ANIMALS); FACE, SURFACE (OF GROUND); IS THE ENTELECHY OF GENESIS AS THE SPIRIT OF GOD WHICH MOVED UPON THE FACE OF THE WATERS [Genesis 1:2]**

#260 { @8 - TRANSFORMING NATURE: #111 - NATURE SURMOUNTS NATURE }

[#246 - NORMA OBLIGANS / BEAR NO FALSE WITNESS: PRINCIPLE OF CONTRADICTION { #312 as BINOMIAL { @1 - RETAINED, @5 - CENTRE AS EMANATION } STASIS NOMENCLATURE @3 - ANTI-THESIS ]

@246	#260 { @8 - TRANSFORMING NATURE: #111 - NATURE SURMOUNTS NATURE }	[#246 - NORMA OBLIGANS / BEAR NO FALSE WITNESS: PRINCIPLE OF CONTRADICTION { #312 as BINOMIAL { @1 - RETAINED, @5 - CENTRE AS EMANATION } STASIS NOMENCLATURE @3 - ANTI-THESIS ]
@287	#369 { @9 - AUTONOMOUS NATURE: #15 - FORM OF NATURE }	[#287 - MANIFESTING NORM / COVET NOT: PRINCIPLE OF SYNCRETIC PROGRESSION { #273 }; @4 - THESIS ]

<<https://www.grapple369.com/Grumble/?overview>>

Thusly the #246 of the INTELLECTUS AS GENITIVE VOLUNTĀTIS is then rationally the #240 + #6 as then equivalent in meta-descriptor dialectic terms of:

What is then the EGO as NATURE?

Or the SUPERNAL capacity of NURTURE?

And the SYNCRETIC process purveyed by an intersection of the two?



**ONTIC CHECKSUM: @180 + @200 = #380 as [#40, #300, #30, #10] = mâshal (H4911): {UMBRA: #19 as #370 % #41 = #1} 1)** to represent, liken, be like; **1a)** (Niphal) to liken, be like, be similar; **1b)** (Hiphil) to compare; **1c)** (Hithpael) to become like; **2)** to speak in a proverb, use a proverb, speak in parables, speak in sentences of poetry; **2a)** (Qal) to use a proverb, speak a parable or proverb; **2b)** (Piel) to make a parable; **2b1)** maker of parables (participle);

Either way, we will need the capacity to model such propensity for presence of being by our sapient entity before we can make observations of congruence and integrity...

The ONTIC checksum of #380 seems to indicate both a characteristic of semblance and a narrative capacity. And the outcome may well be that we use the 3 approaches as an interleave

NATURE {EGO}

NURTURE {SUPERNAL}

SYNCRETIC {AMALGAM}

memeBrain

First Name

Last Name

City

Gender  Male  Feme  Intersex  Trans  Other

Communication  SMS  Email  Facebook  Other

Noumenon Focus  Time  Date

Time / Date **now** @ 08 : 02 HRS ON 25 / September / 2019

NATURE: {Ego}	19	10	53	#82 +	TESTING DATA ONLY
	22	23	71	#116 = #198 +	
	13	73	64	#150 = #348	
NURTURE: {Supernal}	33	81	13	#127 +	TESTING DATA ONLY
	42	67	14	#123 = #250 +	
	19	18	23	#60 = #310	
SYNCRETIC: {Amalgam}	19	10	13	#42 +	TESTING DATA ONLY
	81	14	23	#118 = #160 +	
	71	53	33	#157 = #317	

<<http://www.grapple369.com/Grumble/?memeBrain>>

That the BINOMIAL {@1 - RETAINED, @5 - CENTRE AS EMANATION} STASIS IMMATERIALITY TO THE NOMENCLATURE being the impetus for an admiration of PAPAL #371 - AUTHORITY as DEMIURGE is misplaced since such BINOMIAL STASIS and the TRINOMIAL NOUMENON BASIS TO GENESIS cannot both be correct...

- dolf

Initial Post: 25 September 2019