

## – IS THERE TO BE FOUND A LANGUAGE OF PERFECTION RATHER THAN ONLY CENSOR SCORN AND PREJUDICED DISDAIN?

(c) 2018 Dolf Leendert Boek, Revision: 28 August, 2018

### 2.2 THE MODAL STATUS OF AN ETHICAL PRINCIPLE

Ethical principles have the task of guiding our moral judgments. A more exact analysis of their logical features takes into account that with them help we judge not only factually existing objects but also objects which we merely imagine or whose existence is possible but not actual.

Let us assume in a particular situation that we have two alternatives of action at our disposal. We must decide between action A and action B. If we choose A, B will never exist; if we choose B, A will likewise never exist. If we wish to judge both options of action from a moral point of view, we can do so with recourse to our ethical principles. In that case, we test Whether the criteria formulated in the principles will be satisfied or not satisfied. The criteria must be applicable both to factually existing objects as well as to merely possibly existing ones in order to guide our valuation. Both the actual action A and the merely possible action B fall within the range of objects covered by the principles. This condition has consequences for their modal status.

In order to express modal relations, one can make use of the possible-worlds terminology common in semantics. In case we decide for A and successfully translate this decision into action, then A is an object of the actual world, and B is solely an object of a possible world. We judge both objects With recourse to the same principles. That means, however, that these principles express something not only about the actual world but also about other, possible worlds. Since these principles indicate a strength beyond that of contingency, it appears that they exhibit the modal status of necessity. [Horn & Schönecker (eds.) Groundwork, Page 10]

An alternative canonical approach to expressing these normative {ie. YANG CH'I as MALE / YIN as FEME} bi-conditionals:

- #1 {#99 / #297 - ANKH / ROMAN} / #6 {#123 / #369 - TORAH} - Share the same ancestor;
- #2 {#102 / #306 - ANKH / ROMAN} / #7 {#132 / #396 - TORAH} - Share the same light;
- #3 {#105 / #315 - ANKH / ROMAN} / #8 {#141 / #423 - TORAH} - Become good friends;
- #4 {#108 / #324 - ANKH / ROMAN} / #9 {#231 / #693 - TORAH} -

Keep a common way;

#5 {#111 / #333 - ANKH / ROMAN} / #5 {#114 / #342 - TORAH} -  
 Protect each other {Latin canonicus 'according to rule'}.

<p><b>30 4 53</b>  <b>52 29 6</b>  <b>5 54 28</b></p> <p>= #87 / #261          {#TWO}</p>	<p><b>74 81 76</b>  <b>79 77 75</b>  <b>78 73 80</b></p> <p>= #231 / #693          {#NINE}</p>	<p><b>36 10 59</b>  <b>58 35 12</b>  <b>11 60 34</b></p> <p>= #105 / #315          {#FOUR}</p>
<p><b>45 19 68</b>  <b>67 44 21</b>  <b>20 69 43</b></p> <p>= #132 / #396          {#SEVEN}</p>	<p><b>39 13 62</b>  <b>61 38 15</b>  <b>14 63 37</b></p> <p>= #114 / #342          {#FIVE}</p>	<p><b>33 7 56</b>  <b>55 32 9</b>  <b>8 57 31</b></p> <p>= #96 / #288          {#THREE}</p>
<p><b>42 16 65</b>  <b>64 41 18</b>  <b>17 66 40</b></p> <p>= #123 / #369          {#SIX}</p>	<p><b>27 1 50</b>  <b>49 26 3</b>  <b>2 51 25</b></p> <p>= #78 / #234          {#ONE}</p>	<p><b>48 22 71</b>  <b>70 47 24</b>  <b>23 72 45</b></p> <p>= #141 / #423          {#EIGHT}</p>
<p><b>42 2 58</b>  <b>50 34 18</b>  <b>10 66 26</b></p> <p>= #102 / #306          {#TWO}</p>	<p><b>74 81 76</b>  <b>79 77 75</b>  <b>78 73 80</b></p> <p>= #231 / #693          {#NINE}</p>	<p><b>44 4 60</b>  <b>52 36 20</b>  <b>12 68 28</b></p> <p>= #108 / #324          {#FOUR}</p>
<p><b>47 7 63</b>  <b>55 39 23</b>  <b>15 71 31</b></p> <p>= #117 / #351          {#SEVEN}</p>	<p><b>45 5 61</b>  <b>53 37 21</b>  <b>13 69 29</b></p> <p>= #111 / #333          {#FIVE}</p>	<p><b>43 3 59</b>  <b>51 35 19</b>  <b>11 67 27</b></p> <p>= #105 / #315          {#THREE}</p>
<p><b>46 6 62</b>  <b>54 38 22</b>  <b>14 70 30</b></p> <p>= #114 / #342          {#SIX}</p>	<p><b>41 1 57</b>  <b>49 33 17</b>  <b>9 65 25</b></p> <p>= #99 / #297          {#ONE}</p>	<p><b>48 8 64</b>  <b>56 40 24</b>  <b>16 72 32</b></p> <p>= #120 / #360          {#EIGHT}</p>

<<http://www.grapple369.com/images/ANKH-Jewish-Vassal-Roman-Empire-Governance.jpeg>>

<<http://www.grapple369.com/images/ANKH-Fascist-Roman-Catholic-Empire-Governance.jpeg>>

[**IMAGES:** THE TWO THIEVES AS TWEEDLEDUM[B] AND TWEEDLEDEE[D]:

Egyptian ANKH as the basis of Jewish Vassal Idolatry Identity (top).

\*ECONOMY\* of Fascist / Roman Catholic {ie. hymeneal as marriage / sovereign dynamic v's Jewish Torah Intellectus as Genitive Voluntātus} Empire Governance]

As being compliant with the prescriptive as progressive triadic character {ie. HEAVEN-EARTH-MAN} of ontological perspective and the formulation of Kant's articulation of the CATEGORICAL IMPERATIVE {#1 - Formula of Universal Law; #2 - Formula of Humanity; #3 - Formula of Autonomy} that is then expressing "a situation requiring a choice between equally undesirable alternatives" and its modal relations, but in terms of \*DILEMMA\* which contextually frame meta-dialectic syllogism as being otherwise consistent with a jurisprudent logical syllogism:

**\*DILEMMA\* (noun):**

- A situation requiring a choice between equally undesirable alternatives.
- Any difficult or perplexing situation or problem.
- [Logic]: A form of meta-dialectic syllogism \*SUCH\* \*AS\* \*THE\* \*TEN\* \*COMMANDMENTS\* (\*NOMOS\* \*COMPRISING\* \*AN\* \*INTELLECTUAL\* \*TETRAD\* \*ENCOMPASSING\* \*OUR\* \*NOMENCLATURE\* \*AND\* \*BASIS\* \*TO\* \*INTELLECTUS\* \*AS\* \*GENITIVE\* \*VOLUNTĀTIS\*:

#A {Major Premise},  
#B {Minor Premise},  
#C {Assumptive Proposition},  
#D {Restatement of a Sacred / Sovereign Principle}

In which the major premise is formed of two or more hypothetical propositions and the minor premise is a disjunctive proposition, as

'IF #A {#1 - Nature Contains Nature} THEN #B {#2 - Nature Rejoices in its Nature};

IF #C {#3 - Nature Surmounts Nature} THEN #D {#4 - Nature Amended in its Nature}.

EITHER #A OR #C.

THEREFORE, EITHER #B OR #D.'

[Origin 1515–25 CE: Late Latin from Greek dílēmma, equivalent to di + lēmma an assumption, premise, derivative of lambánein to take {#384 = #5, #300, #10, #3, #6, #50, #10 as nasag (H5381): 1) to reach, overtake, take hold upon; 1a) (Hiphil); 1a1) to overtake; 1a2) to reach, attain to, cause to reach; 1a3) to be able to secure, reach, have enough}.

22/7 as 3W1D ...

**THE MAJOR PREMISE {YANG/FATHER/HEAVEN/MALE/FORM - Formula of Universal Law}, which contains the law of that will: 7 x 24 \*courses\* \*of\* \*priests\* x 13 = 2184 days of the 'oth cycle = 6D or 6 x 364 associated to the 'constant sequence of sun and moon' as 354 x 3 + 30 day intercalation = 1092 days x 2 = 2184 days:**

"And God spake all these words, saying, 'I am the LORD thy God, which have brought thee out of the land of Egypt {that troubles or oppresses; anguish}, out of the house of bondage. Thou shalt have no other gods before me..." [Exodus 20:1-3 (KJV)]

**THE MINOR PREMISE {YIN/MOTHER/EARTH/FEMALE/MATTER - Formula of Humanity}, which contains the command to behave in accordance with the law, that is, the principle of subsumption under the law: x 49 = 6J or 294 x 364 days or 365.2425 x 293 years - Vernal Equinox on Wednesday of 20 March 1996 / New Moon on Thursday of 21 March = 1 Nisan 5756;**

"... Thou shalt not bow down thyself to them, nor serve them: for I the LORD thy God am a jealous God, visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the children unto the third and fourth generation of them that hate me; And showing mercy unto thousands of them that love me, and keep my commandments..." [Exodus 20:5-6 (KJV)]

**THE CONCLUSION {ZHUN/SON/SEA/ENUMERATE/OFFSPRING - Formula of Autonomy}, which contains the verdict (sentence), what is laid down as right in the case at hand: ... 6,000 as 122J3W1D + 9(9<sup>2</sup>+1)/2 as #369 with Septet #41 centric on 13-17 September 2001 / 18 September = 1 Tishri 5762.**

"...Thou shalt not take the name of the LORD thy God in vain; for the LORD will not hold him guiltless that taketh his name in vain." [Exodus 20:7 (KJV)]

**THE \*RESTATEMENT\* \*OF\* \*A\* \*SACRED\* / \*SOVEREIGN\* \*PRINCIPLE\*:** It is also possible to divide the number of days in 400

years in the Gregorian calendar reforms as 146,097 days by 7 and that when made divisible by 22 to obtain the remainder, and to arrange the Hebrew letters in a pattern which has a remainder of #13 as being in the middle of the Chinese 4 BCE published DAO TE CHING / I CHING division of the year into 364.5 days and the Jewish Kabbalah equivalent which deployed a magic square of #369 having a distinct mathematical property at centre of #41 for the equivalent date of 13 September.

**#6000 MOD 22 = 13 [#MEM / #40 - Shelah** {Sprout/That breaks; that unties; that undresses}

As waters, peoples, nations, languages and tongues; the spring of Torah being the source of knowledge and wisdom] = EQUINOX of Wednesday DATE(1996,3,20) + (5 \* #364) + **#182 days** <-- \*LIMIT\* = Wednesday DATE(2001,9,12) with Equinox of Saturday DATE(2001,9,23) commencing the Sabbath year of 'oth cycle

**#YOD** = 10 SEPTEMBER 2001 as HEAVEN {Divine GODHEAD which is the Mind of Christ as \*HYPOSTATIS\*} +

**#MEM** = 13 SEPTEMBER 2001 as EARTH {DAO-ziran (Chinese) / COURSE-trochos (Greek) OF NATURE-genesis [James 3:6]} +

**#TAU** = 22 SEPTEMBER 2001 as MAN {HOMO [iOS] SAPIEN [T]} +

**#ALEPH** = 23 SEPTEMBER 2001 {23 CHROMOSOME ELEMENTS AS THEORY OF GENDER}

**CAROLINA REB (WALT.HAMPTON@ATT.NET) @ 1021 HOURS ON 27 AUGUST 2018: "F@CK YOU!**

This is horse-shit exponentiated. I will explain.

(horse-shit) x 3 = Three times the horse-shit that was here before

Time for a break, you assclown."

**DOLF @ 1114 HOURS ON 27 AUGUST 2018: "RHETORICAL ARTIFICE AS REDUCTIO AD HITLERUM NOTED: F@CK YOU**

In addition to electronically serving upon you a copy of this document "#123 - DIVINE JUDGMENT BY #509 - YAHAD (JEWISH / CHRISTIAN PROSELYTES) AGAINST JEWISH HATRED DUE [TO] STEREOTYPICAL \*SHEM\* (#72) \*HAMPHORASCH\* DEMENTIA BY BLASPHEMY BEING NOW

A #481 - \*CURSE\* UPON THEM"

I've lodged an email copy of such complaint to the local \*PROJECTS\* office for the \*JEWISH\* \*ANTI\*-\*DEFAMATION\* \*LEAGUE\* antidef.org.au and to my friends at the MIKDASH EDUCATIONAL CENTER SITUATED WITHIN JERUSALEM (as the JEWISH TEMPLE COIN depicted therein I have purchased from them) ...

American Support for Israel, Inc.  
P.O. Box 3263  
Washington, DC 20010

They won't have any need to reply because they recognize the plurality of Jewish belief which includes #509 - YAHAD (mentioned in both the Old / New Testaments of the Bible) as proselytes is clearly conveyed as God's elect and chosen community.

If you have a problem with that, then you ought to provide a detailed and reasoned reply beyond engaging within a \*RHETORICAL\* \*ARTIFICE\* \*OF\* \*REDUCTIO\* \*AD\* \*HITLERUM\* as noted: F@CK YOU and feigned habitual ignorance by a #231 - JUXTAPOSITION CONTROL.

If not, I forewarn you that we are building capacity to pursue you within a court of law for irrational hatred driven by a prejudice conveying acts of dehumanisation being crimes against humanity and constituting a war crime which is subject to a universal jurisdiction ...

Oh Father don't forgive them because they know what they do... "

**CAROLINA REB (WALT.HAMPTON@ATT.NET) @ 1130 HOURS ON 27 AUGUST 2018:** "Jeezus is the Yid sh@t thick here! Little wonder the mindless and leaderless Goys follow the likes of you (yours)."

**DOLF @ 1441 HOURS ON 27 AUGUST 2018: "NOTED DEPLOYMENT OF IGNORANCE OF #231 - JUXTAPOSITION CONTROL AS DID FASCISM:**

Ah so you have moved on from deploying a RHETORICAL ARTIFICE OF REDUCTIO AD HITLERUM which was NOTED: F@CK YOU

And now utilising an ego defensive mechanism of #231 - JUXTAPOSITION CONTROL of IGNORANCE

Piaget writes that within logic, juxtaposition is a logical fallacy on the part

of the observer, where two items placed next to each other imply a correlation, when none is actually claimed. For example, an illustration of a politician and Adolf Hitler on the same page would imply that the politician had a common ideology with Hitler. Similarly, saying "Hitler was in favour of #231 - JUXTAPOSITION CONTROL, and so are you" would have the same effect.

This particular rhetorical device is common enough to have its own name, \*REDUCTIO\* \*AD\* \*HITLERUM\*.

Thus we can factually convey without prejudice that:

#1) Your having a recourse to a particular "rhetorical device" known as \*REDUCTIO\* \*AD\* \*HITLERUM\* as noted: F@CK YOU,  
#2) Reliance upon ignorance as #231 - JUXTAPOSITION CONTROL,  
#3) Murderous disdain {ie. #419 - SLAUGHTER upon your altar} for Bible Students as equivalent to HITLERS's IDEA @215 and  
#4) Propensity for hymenealism (marriage) by psychosexual pejoratives re-enforcing misnomered piety which is concerned with all-quantified, descriptive bi-conditionals.

That by such a CATEGORICAL IMPERATIVE you are indistinguishable from neo-Nazi identity and you cannot argue against it—WHAT AN ABOMINATION YOU ARE."

**PESACH [NEFESH BAR YOCHAI] ACEMAN  
(PESACH41@GMAIL.COM) @ 1335 HOURS ON 27 AUGUST 2018:**  
"Please remove me from the list to which I never subscribed."

**DOLF @ 1449 HOURS ON 27 AUGUST 2018: "#123 - DIVINE  
JUDGMENT BY #509 - YAHAD (JEWISH / CHRISTIAN  
PROSELYTES) AGAINST JEWISH HATRED DUE [TO]  
STEREOTYPICAL \*SHEM\* (#72) \*HAMPHORASCH\* DEMENTIA BY  
BLASPHEMY BEING NOW A #481 - \*CURSE\* UPON THEM:**

You are not subscribed to any list you lunatic son of #351 - BELIAL.

I notice that you use #41 within your email address and I ought to say that I know the mind {#41 x 9} of him who said: "FOR THE CHILDREN OF THIS WORLD {#SEVEN: #117 / #351 as BELIAL - ANKH / ROMAN} ARE IN THEIR GENERATION WISER THAN THE CHILDREN OF LIGHT {#123}." [Luke 16:8 (KJV)]

"I AM FROM ABOVE {#41 - Remember the Sabbath ... #82 - Honour your

parents ... #123 - Do not kill ... #164 - Avoid heteronomy against  
autonomy ... #205 - Do not steal ... #246 - Bear false witness ... #287 -  
Covet Not ... #328 ... #369}: YE ARE OF THIS WORLD {

@1 ...

@5 ...

#15 (@6: #260) ...

#34 (@7: #175) ...

#65 (@2: #34) ...

#111 (@3: #65) ...

#175 (@4: #369) ...

#260 (@8: #111) ...

#369 (@9: #15)

}; I AM NOT OF THIS WORLD." [John 8:23 (KJV)]

"AND JESUS ANSWERING SAID UNTO THEM, THE CHILDREN {#SEVEN:  
#117 / #351 as BELIAL - ANKH / ROMAN} OF THIS WORLD MARRY  
{#ONE: #99 / #297 <-- \*AS\* \*THE\* \*FOUNDATION\* \*STONE\*  
(\*USURPING\* @1 = \*SOVEREIGN\* / #CENTRE @5 = \*LAST\*  
\*WILL\*, \*TESTAMENT\* \*OF\* #INR \*BEING\* \*THE\* \*BINDING\*  
\*NORM\* (\*NORMA\* \*OBLIGANS\* ) \*ON\* #33 AD) \*MAGIC\*  
\*SQUARE

@1 - #17 - 2017

@2 - #33 - #INR

@3 - #65 - SOLDIER

@4 - #390 - WREATHS / CROWN / AMERICAN INDEPENDENCE 4 JULY  
1776

@5 - #288 - UMBRA / BEERSHEBA / 11 SEPTEMBER 2001 (HETEROS)

@6 - #419 - SLAUGHTER

@7 - #391 - HOMOIOS

@8 - #13 - Letters Patent

@9 - #21 - Bequeathed to Sovereign Heirs in perpetuity

@10 - #37 - Non-Deeming Action, Government Administration; I-Ching:  
H40 - Release, Deliverance, Taking-Apart, Untangled; Tetra: 21 -  
Release;

**41 1 57**

**49 33 17**

**9 65 25 = #99 / #297 {#ONE}**

#1 (9) - OUHOUYAH (King-Seraphim) = #1

#9 (8) - HAZIEL (King-Cherubim) = #10



#17 (7) - LEVYAH (King-Throne) = #27 <-- **SOVEREIGNTY /  
PYTHAGOREAN TERNIO ANAGRAM TO #INR**

#25 (6) - NETEHYAH (King-Dominion) = #52 <-- **\*THEY\* \*ARE\* \*AS\*  
\*THE\* \*SONS\* \*OF\* \*DARKNESS\* \*NOT\* \*THE\* \*SONS\* \*OF\*  
\*LIGHT\* / \*GOD\* \*AS\* #123**

#33 (5) - YHOUYAH (King-Powers) = #85 <-- **ADAMANT {ie. fixed  
mind / dogmatic} / DIAMOND **

#41 (4) - HEHAHEL (King-Virtues) = #126

#49 (3) - OHOUEL (King-Principalities) = #175 <-- **\*VENUS\* (7x7 =  
#49 / #175) \*USE\* \*OF\* \*MARRIAGE\* \*AS\* \*AN\* \*ANTHROPIC\*  
\*PROTOTYPE\***

#57 (2) - NEMAMYAH (King-Archangels) = #232

#65 - **\*SOLDIER\*** (1) - DAMBYAN (King-Angels) = #297

**VIRTUE as MIND:** {#1 + #2 = #3} +

**TOOLS as SCIENCE:** {#3 + #4 = #7} +

**POSITION as OPINION:** {#5 + #6 = #11 as Collegium of Pontiffs from  
510 BCE as AS PONTIFICATED DEIFIED IGNORANCE BEING  
NARCISSISM} +

**TIME as SENSE:** {#7 + #8 = #15}

= #36 (ie. H27 - Realm of its Nature as Heaven - Formula of Universal  
Law + H9 - System's Cosmology as Earth - Formula of Humanity)

6x6 = #36 / #111 / #666 {#FIVE AS #CENTRE VALUE TO THE GNOME}

**45 5 61**

**53 37 21**

**13 69 29**

= #111 / #333 {#FIVE}

Whilst it may continue to function as an acceptable METHODOLOGY  
{ARCH KAI TELOS OIDA: #1 + #2 + #3 + #4 = #10} with an  
encapsulated sphere of operation, it is entirely a specious notion to  
declare it is the root and causal basis of the perennialist philosophical  
tradition:

**41 1 57**

**49 33 17**

**9 65 25 = #99 / #297 {#ONE}**

**42 2 58**

**50 34 18**

**10 66 26 = #102 / #306 {#TWO}**

43 3 59

51 35 19

11 67 27 = #105 / #315 {#THREE}

#419 as [#9, #2, #8, #400] = tabach (H2873): {#2 as #19  
\*INTERFERENCE\* \*MAPPED\* \*TO\* \*EGYPTIAN\* \*ANKH\* /  
\*ROMAN\* \*IMPERIAL\* \*EMPIRE\* \*GOVERNANCE\* \*PROTOTYPE\*  
#THREE: #105 / #315} 1) to slaughter, slay, butcher, kill ruthlessly;  
1a) (Qal); 1a1) to slaughter, butcher; 1a2) to slay, kill ruthlessly  
(figurative);

44 4 60

52 36 20

12 68 28 = #108 / #324 {#FOUR}

#419 as [#2, #1, #6, #400, #10] = 'avvah (H185): {#0 as #12  
\*INTERFERENCE\* \*MAPPED\* \*TO\* \*EGYPTIAN\* \*ANKH\* /  
\*ROMAN\* \*IMPERIAL\* \*EMPIRE\* \*GOVERNANCE\* \*PROTOTYPE\*  
#FOUR: #108 / #324} 1) desire, lust, will (not necessarily evil);

49 9 65

57 41 25

17 73 33 = #123 / #369 {#NINE} AS IT'S NATURAL PROGRESSION  
{#1 / #73 SUBSTITUTION}

74 81 76

79 77 75

78 73 80 = #231 - #108 = #123 / #693 - #369 = #324 {#TEN} AS  
RETURN TO GRECO-ROMAN MAGIC SQUARE BEING ITSELF

My objection has always been ROMAN CATHOLICS / FREEMASONRY  
imposing {#17 / #33 - #INR / #65 - SOLDIER} a @5 - substituted  
HETEROS ethic upon our {#390 / #288 / #419} war dead and  
usurping the @1 - SOVEREIGNTY of the #391 - HOMOIOS basis to our  
Commonwealth's Governance which is defined as a PRINCIPLE that is  
circumscribed {#13 / #21 / #37} by Queen Victoria's Letters Patent of  
17 September 1900 as the instrumentation of Federation into a nation.

}, AND ARE GIVEN IN MARRIAGE {#175 AS ANTHROPIC  
PROTOTYPE}: BUT THEY WHICH SHALL BE ACCOUNTED WORTHY TO  
OBTAIN THAT WORLD, AND THE RESURRECTION FROM THE DEAD,  
NEITHER MARRY, NOR ARE GIVEN IN MARRIAGE: NEITHER CAN THEY DIE  
ANY MORE: FOR THEY ARE EQUAL UNTO THE ANGELS; AND ARE THE  
CHILDREN OF GOD {

#123 as [#6, #2, #50, #10, #5, #700] = ben (H1121): {UMBRA:

**#75 as #123 % #41 = #41} 1) \*SON\***, grandson, child, member of a group; **1a)** son, male child; **1b)** grandson; **1c)** children (pl. - male and female); **1d)** youth, young men (pl.); **1e)** young (of animals); **1f)** sons (as characterisation, ie sons of injustice [for un- righteous men] **\*OR\* \*SONS\* \*OF\* \*GOD\* [\*FOR\* \*ANGELS\*]; 1g)** people (of a nation) (pl.); **1h)** of lifeless things, ie sparks, stars, arrows (fig.); **1i) \*A\* \*MEMBER\* \*OF\* \*A\* \*GUILD\*, \*ORDER\*, \*CLASS\*;**

}, BEING THE CHILDREN OF THE RESURRECTION." [Luke 20:34-36 (KJV)]

It's my Intellectual Property which is not going to be wasted on you.

Save us both the bother by not replying any further as I am too holy for you to do so:

**RESPONSE@{**  
    **@1:** Sup: 38 (**#38**); Ego: 2 (**#2**),  
    **Male: #38; Feme: #2**  
**}**

**#38** - Consequences for Virtuous Discourse; I-Ching: **H62** - Minor Superiority, Small Excess, Small Exceeding, Preponderance of the small, Small surpassing; Tetra: **11** - Divergence;

**#2** - Contrast of Terms, Self-Culture; I-Ching: **H11** - Peace, Pervading, Greatness; Tetra: **16** - Contact;"

**CAROLINA REB (WALT.HAMPTON@ATT.NET) @ 1423 HOURS ON 27 AUGUST 2018: "IT IS UP TO US!**

Chancellor Hitler was the only known figure in human history to find a way to break the Jew monopoly on the precious metals by monetis[ing] Caucasian labo[u]r ... along with international barter ... and for twelve years Germany's Jew-free Reich flourished. Of course as I am sure you know, that didn't work out too well. Now it is up to us."

**DOLF @ 1614 HOURS ON 27 AUGUST 2018: "IT IS UP TO US TO WIPE YOUR ARSE!**

You are still purveying such Hitler bullshit when incontrovertible facts as denial have been provided to you...

— MUMMY DEAREST —  
[Written 12 January 2018]

"HERE PUSSY PUSSY,  
I WANT SOME FANNY?  
CROSS DRESSER SISSY.  
OR EVEN A TRINNY.

MUMMY IS THE BEST.  
SEXY AS MY FARCE.  
AND AT MY BEQUEST.  
SHE'LL WIPE MY ARSE."

**YOUTUBE:** "Battle Hymn of the Republic"

<<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jy6AOGRsR80>>



TOO BAD THAT YOU ARE ON THE WRONG SIDE AND CANNOT ENJOY THE  
BATTLE HYMN OF THE REPUBLIC.

— **POUTING SNOUT** —

"THAT'LL DO PIG...  
HAVE SOME SWILL.  
GIVE IT A WHIRL.  
WITH YOUR FORK.  
GRUE[L]SOME JIG.  
FOR SUCH A DILL.  
WORTHLESS PEARL.  
AND ENDLESS PORK."

**YOUTUBE:** "That'll do pig, that'll do (Babe)"

<<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rjQtzV9IZ0Q>>

WHAT SAY YE THAT WE HAVE IT FOR OURS SINCE ITS NO VALUE OR USE  
TO YOU AS JUST LIPSTICK  ON A PIG  TO YOU?"

**CAROLINA REB (WALT.HAMPTON@ATT.NET) @ 1423 HOURS ON 27  
AUGUST 2018:** "The only thing that needs to be provided for you is an  
oven."

**LOOSE CANNON (EFBREG73@GMX-X.COMM) @ 0423 HOURS ON 28  
AUGUST 2018:** "[flush]"

Look what the executioner does to this NAZOID sub-louse!

A true keeper... esp[ecially] the part when the hangman sticks his fingers into the NAZOID'S eyes..."

**THE PEELER (FINISHINGOFF@THEREVD.INVALID) 0601 HOURS ON 28 AUGUST 2018:** "<FLUSH the disgusting senile nazi homo swine's usual disgusting sick nazi homo bullshit unread>

Keep your FAGGOT STENCH out of straight people's [newsgroups], you disgusting senile nazi homo swine!"

**NEFESH BAR YOCHAI (ROYALTY3850@HOTMAIL.COM) @ 1003 HOURS ON 28 AUGUST 2018:** "Now you are on the bad side of Dolf and I think it is funny since he is on the prowl to butt f@ck you. You'd like that too wouldn't you Sockman?"

The fact that ethical principles carry in themselves the modal status of necessity provides an important methodical starting point for the construction of ethical theories. Thus, the execution of the commonly performed counterfactual thought experiments in ethics is only possible under the condition that the principles of valuation support such an operation. Contingent principles are not in a position to do that, Kant makes methodical use of this logical quality of moral principles particularly in those places where he speaks of other **"\*RATIONAL\* \*BEINGS\*"** (eg. GMS, 389, 401, 408, 412, 415). The central passage in the Preface of the Groundwork in which he argues for the necessity of a pure moral philosophy also belongs to this methodical usage. It does not matter in these passages whether there actually are other such beings as, for example, the inhabitants of other planets or also God. For the Kantian argumentation it is sufficient that such beings could exist, that their existence is thinkable. The corresponding passages must be understood as methodically executed thought experiments, which make use of the particular modal status of ethical principles. Now it becomes clear why the **"\*LAWS\* \*OF\* \*FREEDOM\*"** have a comparable modal status to the **"\*LAWS\* \*OF\* \*NATURE\*."** Laws of nature support counterfactual arguments, too. In order to achieve this, they also must have a modal status which is higher than simple contingency. The connections formulated in them are also valid in all natural law governed, possible worlds, and in this respect, they exhibit the modal status of [**\*ONTIC\***] necessity. The difference between laws of nature and laws of freedom appears to consist primarily in the fact that the **\*LAWS\* \*OF\* \*NATURE\* {**

#364 {#52 x #7} x 6D = #2184 / #7 = **#312 as [#2, #100, #200,**

**#10]** = qeriy (H7147): {UMBRA: #9 as #310 % #41 = #23} 1)  
opposition, contrariness, encounter, contrary or hostile encounter;

As 'OTH x #49 {#7 x #7) = #107,016 days

#107,016 days / 293 TROPICAL YEARS = 365.2423208191126 AS  
BETTER THAN JULIAN YEAR AS 365.25 days

} are concerned with all-quantified, descriptive bi-conditionals, while the laws of [\*ONTOLOGICAL\*] freedom are concerned with all-quantified, normative bi-conditionals, each receiving the modal status of [\*ONTIC\*] necessity." [Horn & Schönecker (eds.) Groundwork, Page 10]

Nicolai Hartmann distinguishes among ontology, \*ONTICS\*, and metaphysics: (i) ontology concerns the categorical analysis of entities by means of the knowledge categories able to classify them, (ii) \*ONTICS\* refers to a pre-categorical and pre-objectual connection which is best expressed in the relation to transcendent acts, and (iii) metaphysics is that part of \*ONTICS\* or that part of ontology which concerns the residue of being that cannot be **\*RATIONALIZED\*** further according to categories. [<https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ontic>]

Within philosophy, \*ONTIC\* (from the Greek ὄν, genitive ὄντος: "of that which is") is physical, real, or factual existence. The British philosopher Roy Bhaskar (15 May 1944 – 19 November 2014), who was closely associated with the philosophical movement of Critical Realism writes:

"I differentiate the '\*ONTIC\*' (\*'ONTICAL\*' etc.) from the 'ontological'. I employ the former to refer to:

#1) whatever pertains to being generally [SUCH AS THE THOTH MEASURES AS T'AI HSÜAN CHING {POLAR OPPOSITIONS / INTERPLAY OF OPPOSITES}], rather than some distinctively philosophical (or scientific) theory of it (ontology), so that in this sense, that of the \*ONTIC\*, we can speak of the \*ONTIC\* presuppositions of a work of art, a joke or a strike as much as a theory of knowledge [IN THEIR BEING ESSENTIAL RATIOCINATION QUANTITATIVE AND CANONICAL CONSIDERATIONS AS META-DESCRIPTOR PROTOTYPES CIRCUMSCRIBING LANGUAGE EPISTEMOLOGY]; and, within this rubric, to

#2) the intransitive objects of some specific, historically determinate, scientific investigation (or set of such investigations [OF TEMPORAL DISTINCTIONS]), the \*ONTIC\*.

Our informal research task into determining the epistemological principles and properties of metaphysical necessity has been completed and we substantially convey as factual the hypothetical postulate that the **\*ONTIC\*** premises as meta-descriptor prototypes conveying NATURAL LAW of NORMA OBLIGANS by a circumscribing ethical construct of being / ousia are carried by the ONTOLOGICAL experience of language as HEBREW / GREEK lexicon being CATEGORIES OF UNDERSTANDING defined by a trinomial mathematical theoretical noumenon:

45: [1]  
68: [42]  
84: [2]  
86: [10]  
102: [4]  
104: [7]  
115: [5]  
130: [3]  
139: [13]  
140: [14, 16] // #14 - I DEAL NOT FRAUDULENTLY; #16 - I AM NOT AN EAVES-DROPPER  
146: [15]  
148: [12]  
150: [28]  
156: [21]  
157: [17, 41] // #17 - I AM NOT ONE OF PRATING TONGUE; #41 - I HAVE NO STRONG DESIRE EXCEPT FOR MY OWN PROPERTY  
158: [23]  
161: [9]  
166: [11]  
168: [26]  
169: [18]  
171: [20]  
173: [27]  
175: [22]  
177: [29]  
180: [19]  
181: [24, 35] // #24 - I LEND NOT A DEAF EAR TO THE WORDS OF RIGHTEOUSNESS; #35 - I AM NOT ONE WHO CURSETH THE KING  
182: [6]  
184: [36]  
185: [25]  
186: [31]  
191: [32]  
192: [39]

196: [37]  
197: [33]  
200: [8]  
210: [30]  
215: [34]  
220: [38]  
228: [40]



<<http://www.grapple369.com/images/thoth9.gif>>

**[IMAGE:** THOTH MEASURES OF HYPERSPACE AND 'OTH { $24 \times 7 \times 13 =$   
#2184 as 6D / #0 - 21 MARCH 1996} CYCLE OF THE DEAD SEA SCROLLS  
HAS IT ANY PERENNIAL SIGNIFICANCE OVER PYTHAGOREAN  
REDACTION: 1-5-6-7-2-3-4-8-9 METHODOLOGY FOR ARTIFICIAL  
INTELLIGENCE?]

Whilst we publish our findings so that a peer review may be undertaken and the core definitions can be utilised for political agreements without the need to provide any substantial narrative of concise consensus agreement.

Professor Ruth Groff (Political Science department at Saint Louis University, St. Louis, Missouri) offers this expansion of Bhaskar's note above:

"The \*ONTIC\* is always specified, and only identified, by its relation, as the intransitive object(s) of some or other (denumerable set of) particular transitive process(es) of enquiry. It is cognitive process-, and level-specific; whereas the ontological (like the \*ONTIC\*) is not."

Thusly Ontoticism or onticism is the philosophical branch of ontology which studies the factual existence.

The consideration then is a matter of an appropriate jurisprudential



definition of \*SAPIENT\* that can equally apply as the discriminating norm:

$$9(9^2+1)/2 = \#369$$

to humans and machine alike as requisite for Artificial Intelligence can define factual existence:

n: {n: {zen: n, row: n, col: n}, nous: [n]}

Syncretism of cognitions can only occur when there is \*ONTIC\* referential integrity relating to the nature of references or any allusions. And for this realisation one must have recourse to at least a rudimentary understanding of the meta-descriptor prototypes as being implicit within the notion of any metacognition which is "cognition about cognition", "thinking about thinking", "knowing about knowing", becoming "aware of one's awareness" and higher-order thinking skills. The term comes from the root word meta, meaning "beyond".

The term metacognition literally means cognition about cognition, or more informally, thinking about thinking. Flavell defined metacognition as knowledge about cognition and control of cognition. For example, a person is engaging in metacognition if he notices that they are having a \*DILEMMA\* as more trouble learning #A than #B, or if it strikes them that they should double-check #C before accepting it as factually #D. [J. H. Flavell (1976, p. 232)]

It is 0435 hours within the morning and the mind is contending against one of Kant's conjectural aspirations as a postulate upon perfection.

We return again to the prefaced consideration that the Groundwork for the Metaphysics of Morals is representative of Kant's characteristic positional development where he emphasizes that an adequate form of moral philosophy has to be 'pure', ie. both free from all empirical elements of interest, self-love, and natural feelings as well as free from rational concepts of perfection.

And given our earlier elucidation we would provisionally posit that there might well be several dialectic proficiencies which are structurally complimentary in facilitating the ontological syncretic experience as entelechy.

However before concising these rudimentary constituencies, one ought to consider their partisan propensity for societal and political cataclysm on

the pretence of an emancipation, since they convey the vernacular notion of Categorical Imperative. That when provisioned with some vMemetic characteristic such as by normative description of maxim having substantiality or mantric opportunism are regarded as a concrete existence.

Our intention is neither to invigorate nor eviscerate any such causes but to informally engage within a process of self education as enlightenment and participate within discourse upon the dialectics of \*ONTIC\* necessity, its delimitation by the Gnostic Imperative Instruction Set which is implicit to the INTELLECTUS AS GENITIVE VOLUNTĀTIS (ie. Voluntary Will) as the systemic basis for ideas initiation and facilitation, the dialectic of language (ie. English) itself and lastly its circumscribing of the ontological experience through the means of a dialectic of dissertation whether as formalised theatric prosody presented dogmatically, as arbitrary prescription or simply as opined proposition.

Ought we not rather consider prerequisite the mechanics of perfection as determinant of exemplar rather than of it as a declarative finalidade conceding a minuscule contextualisation of proficiency within a plethora of opportunity.

To say that Emmanuel Macron is a delicious philanderer or that he has a propensity for passionate fidelity as possessing proficient virility are all propositions of permissibility which each according to my sensibilities, are aspersions of acceptability as tolerable perfections:

**Petite liesse sans réprochabilité** (Little jubilation without reproach).

And in that regard we are each sapient entities within the extent of savage or savant, but we do not capably know every subject and all there is {ie. telos = arch + c<sup>2</sup>: #41 x 9 as 13 September 2001} to know and for want of a better analogical premise what then is cerebrum as cortical context and from whence does consciousness cascade?

"And we know that all things work together for good to them that love God, to them who are the called according to [his] purpose." [Romans 8:28 (KJV)]

"But as it is written, Eye hath not seen, nor ear heard, neither have entered into the heart of man, the things which God hath prepared for them that love him." [1 Corinthians 2:9 (KJV)]

"But speaking the truth in love, may grow up into him in all things, which

is the head, [even] Christ:" [Ephesians 4:15 (KJV)]

"And sware {ie. the INTELLECTUS AS GENITIVE VOLUNTĀTIS} by him that liveth for ever and ever, who created heaven {#0, #27, #54}, and the things that therein are, and the earth {#0, #9, #18}, and the things that therein are, and the sea {#0, #3, #6}, and the things which are therein, that there should be time no longer: {#1, #2, #3}." [Revelation 10:6 (KJV)]

And that the hypothetical semantical aspiration which we opportunely make at this juncture is upon the cognitive proposition itself and that is broadly a consideration of faculty as to the functional role of sensibilities, both in terms of an initial focal apprehension, and it's subsequent clarity by any process of sublimation as essential for the determinism to the extent of reality, as to the reluctance of ego and incapacity of altruism which is impotent when tempered by self justification within the syncretic process of consensus until it reaches a prefectural acceptability as **je ne sais quoi** (uncountability) in only then a nuance of perfection which has an indefinable quality that conveys a disjunctional potentiality as latent capacity to make something distinctive or attractive.

**YOUTUBE:** "A Conversation Between Two Linguistically Gifted Groups"

<[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZN19oHTv\\_Vg](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZN19oHTv_Vg)>

But which must then be assayed before either ignominy as disgrace or ingenuity and virtuosity as its relative perfection is attributed to it as quintessentially capable of an instantiation (**voilà**) within its own entitlement and a permeability to forestall the ravages of time as being a declarative truth and manifest of agreement.

[TO BE CONTINUED]

- dolf

The various PDF resources being essays as work in progress notations for the prospect of producing a viable syncretism with Immanuel Kant's Ground Work for the Metaphysics of Morals are now available within the directory:

<<http://www.grapple369.com/Groundwork/>>

Initial Post: 28 August 2018